**Hewlett Packard** Enterprise

# CHAPEL 1.24 RELEASE NOTES: LANGUAGE AND LIBRARY IMPROVEMENTS

Chapel Team March 18, 2021

## CORE LANGUAGE STABILIZATION

### **CORE LANGUAGE STABILIZATION**

Background and This Effort

#### **Background:**

- Over the past several releases, we have been working toward a forthcoming Chapel 2.0 release
- Intent: stop making backward-breaking changes to core language and library features
  - use semantic versioning to reflect if/when such changes are made

#### This Effort:

- Chapel 1.24 addresses most major language-related concerns identified in Chapel 1.23
  - resolution of tertiary methods and operators
  - various forms of conversions between types
  - -definition of 'out' and 'inout' intents
  - nilability ergonomics w.r.t. conditional control flow
  - collections of non-nilable classes
  - implicit accesses to sync/single
- This deck addresses these efforts in detail

#### OUTLINE

- <u>Use and Import Statement Improvements</u>
- <u>Operator Overloading</u>
- <u>Levels of Conversions</u>
- <u>'out' Intent Changes</u>
- <u>Control-Flow Declarations</u>
- <u>Reorganizing the Memory Module</u>
- <u>Support for Arrays of Classes</u>
- <u>Deprecating Implicit Reads/Writes of Sync/Single</u>
- <u>Core Language Stabilization: Next Steps</u>
- Other Language and Library Improvements

## USE AND IMPORT STATEMENT IMPROVEMENTS

## **USE/IMPORT IMPROVEMENTS**

Background

- Could 'import' or 'use' types that were defined in a module
  - But couldn't explicitly bring in tertiary methods

import Mod.someMethod; // error: can't list methods in limitation clauses
import Mod.R; // error: 'R' not defined in 'Mod'
use Mod; // This works, but isn't very precise

```
module Mod {
   // 'use' enables access to record 'R'
   private use DefinesR;
   proc R.someMethod() { ... }
   proc returnAnR(): R { ... }
```

- Needed a way to access methods when given an instance of a type
  - Methods were visible even if 'use' or 'import' was 'private' or had a limitation clause
    - This was inconsistent with other functions or symbols

import DefinesR, Mod.returnAnR; // Nothing here explicitly brings in 'R.someMethod()'...

```
var rec = returnAnR();
rec.someMethod(); //...but it could be called regardless
```

• Chapel 1.23 added support for always finding primary and secondary methods from a type's scope



## USE/IMPORT IMPROVEMENTS

This Effort

• Enabled listing types with tertiary methods in 'use' / 'import'

```
use DefinesR;
import Mod.R; // Now supported; provides access to 'proc R.*' in 'Mod'
```

```
var rec = new R(...);
rec.someMethod(); // Now works!
```

```
module Mod {
   // 'use' enables access to record 'R'
   private use DefinesR;
   proc R.someMethod() { ... }
   proc returnAnR(): R { ... }
```

• Returned to respecting 'private' and limitation clauses

```
import DefinesR, Mod.returnAnR; // Nothing here explicitly brings in 'R.someMethod()'...
```

```
var rec = returnAnR();
rec.someMethod();
```

```
// ...so now it can't be called!
```

### **USE/IMPORT IMPROVEMENTS**

Impact, and Next Steps

#### Impact:

- Privacy and limitation clauses are less complicated to explain
  - No more exceptions for methods

#### Next Steps:

- Fix bug with inherited type methods visibility (see issue #<u>17134</u>)
- Ensure operator methods have same visibility as regular methods
- Add support for listing operators in limitation clauses (see issue #17003)

```
-E.g.
import Mod1.+;
use Mod2 except -;
```

Background

- Chapel permitted overloading operators via normal function definitions proc + (lhs: t1, rhs: t2) { ... } // 't2' can be the same as 't1'
  - Operators could not be defined as methods
  - Could get an instance of a type without having its operators available

```
use DefinesR only R; // Can't list '+' here!
war a = new R(5);
var b = new R(2);
var c = a + b; // Error: the '+' operator isn't visible!
module DefinesR {
    record R {
        var f;
        }
        proc +(lhs: R, rhs: R)
        return new R(lhs.f + rhs.f);
}
```

Made it difficult to associate operators with a type / find overloaded operators on a given type

 Had to search for individual operators

This Effort

- Added a new 'operator' keyword to declare operator overloads
   operator +(lhs: t1, rhs: t2) { ... } // 't2' can be the same as 't1'
- Added support for declaring operator overloads as methods
  - Can be declared as primary, secondary, or tertiary methods
  - Treated like a 'type' method, but called as usual (infix/prefix/postfix notation, without a 'this' instance) record R {

```
var f: int;
operator +(lhs: R, rhs: R) { // Primary method
return new R(lhs.f + rhs.f);
}
operator R.-(lhs: R, rhs: R) { // Secondary method
return new R(lhs.f - rhs.f);
```

```
module DefinesTertiary {
   use DefinesR;
```

```
// Tertiary method
operator R.*(lhs: R, rhs: R) {
   return new R(lhs.f * rhs.f);
```

Impact and Status

#### Impact:

- Operators can now be more closely associated with a type, like traditional methods
  - Can also still be declared as standalone functions for type-neutral cases
- The 'operator' keyword permits operators to be found more easily

#### Status:

- Standalone operators declared with the 'operator' keyword can be used in place of the 'proc' form
- Operator methods have some known issues (see next slide), but behave correctly in basic usage

Next Steps

- Replace 'proc <op>' definitions with 'operator <op>' definitions in internal/standard/package libraries
  - Use method approach where appropriate
- Deprecate 'proc <op>' form
- Decide how to handle forwarding operator methods (see issue #<u>16992</u>) and implement
- Add support for listing operators in forwarding and 'use'/'import' limitation clauses (see issue #17003)
- Ensure method visibility rules apply to operator methods
- Update syntax highlighting modes to highlight 'operator' (emacs, vim, etc.)
- Make 'operator' visible in documentation of operator functions and methods declared with keyword
  - To make searching documentation easier as well
  - Today it looks like this for an operator method on type 'Foo': proc type Foo.+(lhs: Foo, rhs: Foo)

Background

• Chapel has supported different kinds of conversions between types

```
proc f(in arg: real) { }
f(1); // implicit conversion for a function call
var x: real = 1.0;
x = 1; // conversion in assignment
var y: real = 1; // conversion in initialization
1: real; // cast
```

- There were open questions about the relationship among these conversions:
  - E.g., if an implicit conversion is allowed in initialization, should it also be allowed for function calls?

This Effort

- Developed a conceptual framework for these conversions and updated the language accordingly
  - Conversions between types come in 4 levels
  - Type authors should be able to choose any of these 4 levels
  - Distinguish between implicit conversions for function call arguments, assignment, and initialization
- So far, implicit call conversions only apply to built-in types
  - E.g., an 'int' argument passed to a function accepting a 'real'
  - Allowing them for user-defined types should not impact the rest of these rules

Given	Which conversions are also required?					
	= (assign)	<b>init=</b> (initialize)	: (cast)			
implicit call conversion	Х	Х	Х			
= (assign)		Х	Х			
<b>init=</b> (initialize)			Х			
: (cast)						

This Effort

- Adjusted the compiler to generate an error when a required conversion is missing:
  - no 'init=' between two types when '=' is present
  - no cast between two types when 'init=' is present
- Cleaned up some cases where the compiler translated a conversion into default-initialization + assign
- Added a user-facing way to define casts with 'operator :' as follows: operator : (from: fromType, type t: toType) { ... }

Impact and Next Steps

#### Impact:

- Support for conversions is now expected to be stable
  - Even if user-defined implicit conversions for function calls are added later

#### Next Steps:

- Consider automatically generating 'operator :' from 'init=' when it is not provided
- Consider enabling implicit conversions for function calls
- Implement tertiary initializers and support them for all types
  - to allow conversions to be defined for tuples, arrays, integers, and other built-in types

#### **'OUT' INTENT CHANGES** Background

• We can think of the 'out' intent as creating a temporary variable at the call site and then assigning:

```
proc fOut(out arg: R) { ... }
fOut(c)
translates into
fout(c)
fou
```

- Note that the 'out' intent uses '=' in some cases and 'init=' in others due to split init
- It was unclear what should happen when the 'out' formal has a different type from the actual argument: proc int8Out(out arg: int(8)) { } var myInt: int = 1;

int8Out(myInt); // should this call resolve? (traditionally, it hasn't)

• Similar code with 'return' was already working:

```
proc returnInt8(): int(8) { return 0; }
var myInt: int = 1;
myInt = returnInt8(); // works, uses a conversion when assigning to 'myInt'
```

This Effort

- Changed 'out' intent to be more similar to 'return'
  - the type of an 'out' intent formal is now inferred from the function body rather than the call site
  - types of 'out' intent formals are no longer considered in candidate selection or disambiguation
- Resolved open questions about how 'out' interacts with '=' / 'init=' overloads
  - conversions enabled with '=' / 'init=' can be run on a call to a function with 'out'
  - these conversions still do not affect which function is called
- Adjusted 'inout' to reflect a composition of 'in' and 'out'
  - the type is inferred from the call site, like 'in'
  - conversions are considered in candidate selection, like 'in'
  - as the called function is returning, actuals are set from the 'inout' formals with '=' / 'init=', like 'out'
- Changed some 'out' intent arguments in modules and tests to 'ref'
  - when the type information needed to flow from the call site



Impact

• Conversions are now allowed for 'out' function calls using '=' / 'init=' as one might expect:

```
proc int8Out(out arg: int(8)) { }
var myInt: int = 1;
int8Out(myInt); // now resolves, uses '=' to set 'myInt' from the 'out arg' formal
```

• Now 'out' formals can be used to initialize untyped variables

```
proc f(out a, out b, out c) {
    a = 1;
    b = 2.0;
    c = "hi";
}
var x, y, z;
f(x, y, z);
```

```
writeln( (x,y,z) ); // prints(1,2.0, hi)
```

Next Steps

- Allow programmers to request 'out' formal type inference from the call site (issue <u>#17198</u>)
  - 'channel.readbits' used to look like this

proc channel.readbits(out v: integral, nbits: integral): bool throws

- -however, that does not work if the type of 'v' is determined by the function body
- for now, 'channel.readbits' uses the 'ref' intent:

proc channel.readbits(ref v: integral, nbits: integral): bool throws

- a type query expression could indicate that the type should come from the call site: proc channel.readbits(out v: ?T, nbits: integral): bool throws
- such a mechanism could enable a few other patterns as well:

```
proc foo(out B: ?T) where isArray(T) { for i in B.domain do B(i) = i; }
proc f(out arg: ?T) { if something then arg = 1; }
```

## **CONTROL-FLOW DECLARATIONS**

### **CONTROL-FLOW DECLARATIONS**

Background and This Effort

Background: a nil-check is required yet unnecessary after establishing that a nilable variable is non-nil

```
var c: MyClass? = ...;
if c then
    c.doSomething(); // error: did you mean 'c!.doSomething()'?
```

#### This Effort: the non-nilable value can be stored in a "control-flow variable" or "constant"

```
if const c2 = c then
    c2.doSomething(); // OK: 'c2' is non-nilable
• also available in while-do loops
while const curr = computeNext() do
```

```
curr.process(); // OK: 'curr' is non-nilable
```

- a control-flow variable is accessible only in the corresponding then-branch or loop body
- if it is declared as 'var', it can be assigned
- a control-flow variable stores a 'borrow' when its control-flow expression is 'owned' or 'shared'

### **CONTROL-FLOW DECLARATIONS**

Impact and Next Steps

#### Impact:

- improved nilability ergonomics
- superfluous postfix-! operations can now be avoided

#### **Next Steps:**

- potentially permit the control-flow variable to retain 'owned' or 'shared' management when desired
- potentially consider allowing other types in the control-flow declarations, like numbers

Background and This Effort

#### **Background:** The 'Memory' module contained functions to diagnose memory usage

- E.g., 'memUsed()' returned the memory usage of the current locale
- E.g., 'physicalMemory()' returned the total memory on a locale

This Effort: Expanded the capabilities of the 'Memory' module

- Reorganized the 'Memory' module into submodules
  - 'Memory': The root module
    - 'Memory.Diagnostics': The contents of the 'Memory' module were moved here
    - 'Memory.Initialization': New functions for low-level moves and deinits
- Deprecated the functions and types in the 'Memory' module

The 'Memory.Initialization' Module

- The 'Memory.Initialization' module provides functions to perform low-level moves
  - A low-level move copies the bytes of a value around in memory
    - Like C assignment or C 'memcpy()'
  - A low-level move does *not* perform assignment (e.g., Chapel's proc=)
    - The destination is overwritten, and leaks/crashes can occur if used improperly
  - A low-level move does *not* produce a copy (e.g., Chapel's init=)
    - The 'movelnitialize()' function will error if calling it would copy 'src'

```
var src: nonPodRecord;
var dst: nonPodRecord = noinit; // Assume 'noinit' works for types besides arrays (it currently does not)
moveInitialize(dst, src); // Compiler error: Call to 'moveInitialize' would copy 'src'
writeln(src);
```

The 'Memory.Initialization' Module

• The 'Memory.Initialization' module provides tools to help users build their own collections use Memory.Initialization;

```
record myList {
  // Assume 'noinit' works for non-POD types (right now it does not)
  var data: [0..7] nonPodRecord = noinit;
                                                           proc myList.clear() {
  var size = 0;
                                                              for i in 0..<size {
                                                                // Destroy elements of a 'myList' manually
proc myList.add(in x: nonPodRecord) {
                                                                explicitDeinit(data[i]);
  // Move 'x' into 'data' without assigning it
  moveInitialize(data[size], x);
  size += 1;
proc myList.popLast() {
  size -= 1;
  return moveToValue(data[size]); // Consume data[size] and move it into a new value
```

Impact, Next Steps

**Impact:** The 'Memory' namespace has been expanded for use by several memory-themed modules

• Users should change uses of 'Memory' to 'Memory.Diagnostics' to avoid deprecation warnings

#### **Next Steps:**

- Make the compiler aware of calls to 'explicitDeinit()'
  - It still deinitializes variables that have had 'explicitDeinit()' called on them
- Update standard collections to use 'Memory.Initialization' where possible Strive to build our collections entirely out of user-facing features
- Explore more kinds of low-level moves
  - Such as a function to move a value across locales, see:  $\frac{#15808}{}$

Background

Background: Only one flavor of fixed size array was left unsupported in the previous release

	list	map	set	fixed array	resized array	assoc array	sparse	tuple
owned t					•	<b></b>	<b></b>	
shared t					•	<b></b>	<b></b>	
borrowed t					•	<b></b>	<b></b>	
unmanaged t					•	<b></b>	<b></b>	
(shared t, shared t)	$\checkmark$			×	•	<b></b>	<b></b>	$\checkmark$
owned t?	$\checkmark$							$\checkmark$
shared t?								$\checkmark$
borrowed t?								$\checkmark$
unmanaged t?								$\checkmark$
(shared t?, shared t?)								
record						$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	

 $\frac{Key}{\checkmark}$  Working  $\checkmark$  Not yet working  $\diamond$  Not expected to work

This Effort

**This Effort:** Added support for fixed arrays of tuples containing non-nilable classes

	list	map	set	fixed array	resized array	assoc array	sparse	tuple
owned t					<b></b>	<b></b>	<b></b>	$\checkmark$
shared t	$\checkmark$				<b></b>	<b></b>	<b></b>	$\checkmark$
borrowed t					•	<b></b>	<b></b>	$\checkmark$
unmanaged t					<b></b>	<b></b>	<b></b>	$\checkmark$
(shared t, shared t)					<b>♦</b>	<b></b>	<b></b>	$\checkmark$
owned t?	$\checkmark$							$\checkmark$
shared t?								$\checkmark$
borrowed t?								$\checkmark$
unmanaged t?	$\checkmark$							$\checkmark$
(shared t?, shared t?)	$\checkmark$							$\checkmark$
record			<ul> <li>Bug relate</li> </ul>	ed to defau	ult initializa	tion of tup	le array	
		Working	-	containing	non-nilabl	-	·	

Impact and Next Steps

**Impact:** Fixed-size arrays of all class flavors are now supported

#### **Next Steps:**

- Support resized arrays of non-nilable classes
  - see discussion in 'Ongoing Efforts' release notes

## DEPRECATING IMPLICIT READS/WRITES OF SYNC/SINGLE

Background

• Since Chapel's inception, sync/single variables have supported implicit accesses:

```
var count$: sync int;
```

```
count$ = count$ + 1; // equivalent to the more explicit: 'count$.writeEF(count$.readFE() + 1);'
```

- Rationale:
  - more convenient than requiring methods for every read/write
  - followed the precedent set by the Tera MTA / Cray XMT programming model
- However, this has also been a source of long-term concern:
  - unwitting reads/writes to such variables can cause deadlocks
    - this led to the convention of naming sync/single variables with a '\$' to alert programmers to their presence
    - -yet, it's arguably a red flag for a language to depend on a naming convention to ensure clarity
  - has also resulted in some asymmetries in the language, e.g.:

var x = y; // in most cases, x.type == y.type
var z = count\$; // but here, z.type == int

• This stood out as a core language feature we'd likely regret freezing as-is

This Effort

- Updated Chapel's modules and tests to use explicit read/write methods
- Deprecated implicit reads/writes of syncs/singles
  - given:

```
var s$, s2$: sync int;
```

• the following patterns now generate warnings about implicit reads/writes being deprecated:

<b>var</b> x = s\$;	// rewrite: var x = s\$.readFE();
s\$ = 1;	// rewrite: s\$.writeEF(1);
s\$ += 1;	// rewrite: s\$.writeEF(s\$.readFE() + 1);
s\$ = s2\$;	// rewrite: s\$.writeEF(s2\$.readFE());
s\$ + s2\$;	// rewrite: s\$.readFE() + s2\$.readFE()
f(s\$); <b>proc</b> f(x: <b>int</b> ) {}	// rewrite: f(s\$.readFE())
if s\$ then	// rewrite: if s\$.readFE() then

- warnings are of the form:
  - -warning: Initializing a type-inferred variable from a 'sync' is deprecated; apply a '.read??()' method to the right-hand side
  - -warning: Direct assignment to 'sync' variables is deprecated; apply a 'write??()' method to modify one

– etc.

Impact and Next Steps

#### Impact:

• New warnings should encourage users to stop relying on implicit reads/writes so that we can remove them

#### **Next Steps:**

- Determine how compiler-generated initializers of objects with sync/single fields should work
   – see next slide
- Remove support for implicit reads/writes
- Consider ceasing to recommend that sync/single variables be decorated with '\$'
- Implement default I/O for syncs/singles
  - the following has traditionally not been supported due to questions about whether to interpret it as 'writeln(s\$.readFE());' writeln(s\$);
  - -but without implicit reads/writes, it seems more obvious to treat it as IO on the sync/single itself
    - e.g., perhaps write the value if full, a string like '<empty>' if not?

Next Steps: Compiler-generated Initializers

```
• Given:
     class C {
        var s: sync int;
• Traditionally, the compiler has generated:
     proc C.init(s: sync int) {
        this.s = s; // this generates a warning today, requiring the user to specify an initializer if they want to avoid it
• More useful would be to have the compiler generate:
     proc C.init(s: int) {
        this.s = s;
  • rationale: the only 'init=' routine that a sync variable supports has the form:
```

```
proc (sync t).init=(rhs: t) { ... }
```

• open question: would such behavior be specific to syncs/singles, or applicable to user types as well?

## CORE LANGUAGE STABILIZATION: NEXT STEPS

### **CORE LANGUAGE STABILIZATION**

Next Steps

- Knock out remaining language issues:
  - Complete operator methods, deprecating 'proc'-style operator overloads
  - Resolve sync field initializer issues and remove implicit sync accesses
  - Complete work on arrays and collections of non-nilable classes
- Focus increasingly on standard library stabilization
- Complete interfaces
- Continue to explore impact of:
  - capture of iterator expressions into untyped variables:

```
var x = [i in 1..10] i; // what is the type of 'x'?
```

• 0-tuples

### **CORE LANGUAGE STABILIZATION**

Next Steps: Longer-term

- After Chapel 2.0, what else remains to be stabilized / defined?
  - first-class functions
  - ability to create records with non-default behaviors (e.g., argument / task intents)
  - interoperability features
  - partial reductions, scans
  - how parallel and zippered iterators are defined
  - user-defined reductions and scans
  - user-defined domain maps
  - ability to disable pass-by-keyword matching

• ...

## OTHER LANGUAGE AND LIBRARY IMPROVEMENTS

### **OTHER LANGUAGE AND LIBRARY IMPROVEMENTS**

For a more complete list of language and library changes and improvements in the 1.24 release, refer to the following sections in the <u>CHANGES.md</u> file:

- 'Syntactic / Naming Changes'
- 'Semantic Changes / Changes to Chapel Language'
- 'Namespace Changes'
- 'New Features'
- 'Feature Improvements'
- 'Deprecated / Unstable / Removed Language Features'
- 'Deprecated / Removed Library Features'
- 'Standard Library Modules'
- 'Package Modules'
- 'Standard Domain Maps (Layouts and Distributions)'

# THANK YOU

https://chapel-lang.org @ChapelLanguage