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➢ Chapel introduction

● The problem: architecture and how to express it
● The solution: *hierarchical locales*
● Locality during compilation
● Status and plans
What is Chapel?

● An emerging parallel programming language
  ● Design and development led by Cray Inc.
    ● with contributions from academics, labs, industry
  ● Initiated under the DARPA HPCS program
  ● A work-in-progress

● Overall goal: Improve programmer productivity

● Open source (at GitHub), licensed as Apache software

● Target architectures:
  ● Cray architectures
  ● multicore desktops and laptops
  ● commodity clusters
  ● systems from other vendors
  ● working on: CPU+accelerator hybrids, manycore, …
**Multiresolution Design:** Support multiple tiers of features

- higher levels for programmability, productivity
- lower levels for greater degrees of control

*Chapel language concepts*

- Domain Maps
- Data Parallelism
- Task Parallelism
- Base Language
- Locality Control
- Target Machine

- build the higher-level concepts in terms of the lower
- permit the user to intermix layers arbitrarily
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Chapel in a Nutshell: Task Parallelism, etc.

**Syntactic constructs for creating task parallelism:**
*coforall* (concurrent forall): creates a task per iteration

**Variables and types for reasoning about system resources:**
*Locales*: the collection of compute nodes on which the program is running
*here*: the node on which the current task is running

**Control over locality/affinity:**
*on-clauses*: task migration

**Static type inference (optionally):**
Supports programmability with performance

```
coforall loc in Locales do
  on loc {
    const numTasks = here.maxTaskPar;
    coforall tid in 1..numTasks do
      printf("Hello from task %n of %n running on %s\n", tid, numTasks, here.name);
  }
```

```
prompt> chpl taskParallel.chpl -o taskParallel
prompt> ./taskParallel --numLocales=2
Hello from task 1 of 4 running on n1032
Hello from task 4 of 4 running on n1032
Hello from task 2 of 4 running on n1033
Hello from task 1 of 4 running on n1033
Hello from task 3 of 4 running on n1032
Hello from task 3 of 4 running on n1033
Hello from task 2 of 4 running on n1032
Hello from task 4 of 4 running on n1033
```
Chapel in a Nutshell: Data Parallelism, etc.

**Modules for namespace management:**
*CyclicDist*: standard module providing cyclic distributions

**Configuration variables and constants:**
Never write an argument parser again (unless you want to)

**Domains and Arrays:**
Index sets and arrays that can optionally be distributed

**Data parallel forall loops and operations:**
Use available parallelism for data-driven computations
Domain map *iterator* controls domain traversal, including parallelism and locality

```
use CyclicDist;
config const n = 1000;
var D = {1..n, 1..n};
dmapped Cyclic(startIdx = (1,1));
var A: [D] real;
forall (i,j) in D do
    A[i,j] = i + (j - 0.5)/n;
writeln(A);
```

```
prompt> chpl dataParallel.chpl -o dataParallel
prompt> ./dataParallel --numLocales=4 --n=5
1.1 1.3 1.5 1.7 1.9
2.1 2.3 2.5 2.7 2.9
3.1 3.3 3.5 3.7 3.9
4.1 4.3 4.5 4.7 4.9
5.1 5.3 5.5 5.7 5.9
```
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Architecture Used to Be So Simple

- Traditionally, Chapel supported only a 1D array of locales
  - Users could reshape/slice to suit their computation’s needs

- Apart from queries, no further visibility into locales
  - No mechanism to refer to NUMA domains, processors, memories, …
  - Assumption: compiler, runtime, OS, HW can handle intra-locale concerns

- Supports horizontal (inter-node) locality well
  - But not vertical (intra-node)
But the Old Model Was Really too Simple

- (HPC) architectures are varied and evolving rapidly
- Intra-node architecture has become important
  - Hierarchical (example: NUMA)
  - Heterogeneous (example: GPUs)
- Performance requires using all architecture effectively
- How to deal with this?
Summarizing the Requirements (and Desires)

● Really just 3 classes of ops have to do with architecture:
  ➢ Memory management (allocate, free, etc.)
  ➢ Task support (initiate, move, etc.)
  ➢ Communication
  ● Also helpful: we do not need very many operations from each class

● Solution must be adaptive/flexible
  ● Must not require Chapel core team involvement
    ● We are not architecture specialists
    ● Others must be able to describe new architectures for Chapel
  ● Knowing Chapel + architecture and being motivated should be enough
  ● Must support experimentation and prototyping

● Thus: fairly well constrained, not too-large problem
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✓ Chapel introduction
✓ The problem: architecture and how to express it
➢ The solution: *hierarchical locales*
  ● Locality during compilation
  ● Status and plans
Chapel Hierarchical Locales

- **The key ideas:**
  - Define standardized Chapel class to describe CPU+mem architecture
  - Make it composable, to reflect hierarchy

```
class LocaleModel { ... }
```

- **Has a required interface**
  - Functions for:
    - Memory management, task support, and communication operations
    - Parents and children
  - A few variables
    - “Has children?”, e.g.
  - Compiler-generated code calls this required interface
  - May be implemented however you like
    - Typically in terms of other LocaleModel instances or runtime calls
An Example: The numa Locale Model

$CHPL_HOME/modules/.../numa/LocaleModel.chpl

```chapl
class NumaDomain : AbstractLocaleModel {
    const sid: chpl_sublocID_t;
}

// The node model
class LocaleModel : AbstractLocaleModel {
    const numSublocales: int;
    var childSpace: domain(1);
    var childLocales: [childSpace] NumaDomain;
}

// support for memory management
proc chpl_here_alloc(size: int, md: int(16)) { ... }

// support for "on" statements
proc chpl_executeOn(loc: chpl_localeID_t, // target locale
    fn: int, // on-body func idx
    args: c_void_ptr, // func args
    args_size: int(32) // args size
) { ... }

// support for tasking stmts: begin, cobegin, coforall
proc chpl_taskListAddCoStmt(subloc_id: int, // target subloc
    fn: int, // body func idx
    args: c_void_ptr, // func args
    ref tlist: _task_list, // task list
    tlist_node_id: int // task list owner
) { ... }
```

Copyright 2014 Cray Inc.
Where Predefined Locale Models Live

Chapel Source Code → Chapel-to-C Compiler → Generated C Code → Standard C Compiler & Linker → Chapel Executable

- Chapel Compiler
- Standard Modules (in Chapel)
- Internal Modules (in Chapel)
- Runtime Support Library (in C)
  - Tasks/Threads
  - Communication
  - Memory
  - ...
Where Predefined Locale Models Live

- Locale models provided by Chapel are in internal modules
- User specifies locale model as part of Chapel configuration when compiling application (via environment variable)

Chapel Source Code ➔ Chapel-to-C Compiler ➔ Generated C Code ➔ Standard C Compiler & Linker ➔ Chapel Executable

- Internal Modules (in Chapel)
- Standard Modules (in Chapel)
- Runtime Support Library (in C)
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Hierarchical Locales Create A New Chapel Role

- **Application programmer: work on applications**
  - Express solutions in a natural way
  - Use forall statements to expose data parallelism
  - Use domain maps to inform Chapel about locality and affinity

- **Domain map specialist: work on locality**
  - In a general or conceptual way, not an architecture-specific one

- **Architecture modeler: work on architectural mappings**
  - Describe architectural hierarchy
  - Implement functional interfaces at various levels
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✓ Chapel introduction
✓ The problem: architecture and how to express it
✓ The solution: hierarchical locales
  ➢ Locality during compilation
  ● Status and plans
Context: We’re Using the numa Locale Model

```chpl
class NumaDomain : AbstractLocaleModel {
    const sid: chpl_sublocID_t;
}

// The node model
class LocaleModel : AbstractLocaleModel {
    const numSublocales: int;
    var childSpace: domain(1);
    var childLocales: [childSpace] NumaDomain;
}

// support for memory management
proc chpl_here_alloc(size:int, md:int(16)) { … }

// support for "on" statements
proc chpl_executeOn(loc: chpl_localeID_t, // target locale
    fn: int,                     // on-body func idx
    args: c_void_ptr,           // func args
    args_size: int(32)          // args size
) { … }

// support for tasking stmts: begin, cobegin, coforall
proc chpl_taskListAddCoStmt(subloc_id: int, // target subloc
    fn: int,                   // body func idx
    args: c_void_ptr,         // func args
    ref tlist: _task_list,    // task list
    tlist_node_id: int        // task list owner
) { … }
```
// Stream Triad
config const m = 1000,
    alpha = 3.0;
const ProblemSpace = {1..m} dmapped Block(...);
var A, B, C: [ProblemSpace] real;
B = 2.0;
C = 3.0;
A = B + alpha * C;
The Application, Unburdened by Architecture

Express parallelism abstractly, without referring to physical architecture

```cpp
// Stream Triad
config const m = 1000,
    alpha = 3.0;
const ProblemSpace = {1..m} dmapped Block(...);
var A, B, C: [ProblemSpace] real;
B = 2.0;
C = 3.0;
A = B + alpha * C;
```

$\alpha \cdot +$
The Application, Unburdened by Architecture

Express parallelism abstractly, without reference to architecture

```c
// Stream Triad
config const m = 1000,
    alpha = 3.0;
const ProblemSpace = {1..m} dmapped Block(...);
var A, B, C: [ProblemSpace] real;
B = 2.0;
C = 3.0;
A = B + alpha * C;
```

Specify domain map in application code
Locality & Affinity in the Domain Map

// Block domain map
class Block: BaseDist {
    var targetLocDom: domain(rank);
    var targetLocales: [targetLocDom] locale;
    var dataParTasksPerLocale: int;
    var dataParIgnoreRunningTasks: bool;
    var dataParMinGranularity: int;
}
...
iter these(param tag: iterKind,
    tasksPerLocale = dataParTasksPerLocale,
    ignoreRunning = dataParIgnoreRunningTasks,
    minIndicesPerTask = dataParMinGranularity)
{
    const numSublocs = here.GetChildCount();
    if locModelHasSublocs && numSublocs != 0 {
        ... _computeChunkStuff(min(numSublocs,
            here.maxTaskPar),
            ignoreRunning,
            minIndicesPerTask,
            ranges);
        ...
    }
}

Domain map:

- Describes distribution of indices (block, cyclic, etc.)
- Ties together locality, affinity, parallelism via iterators for forall-stmts
- Interrogates locale model to learn about resources
- Has a standardized interface, referenced by compiler-generated code
- Is typically coded by a specialist
The Application, Translated by the Domain Map

const ProblemSpace = {1..m} dmapped Block(...);
var A, B, C: [ProblemSpace] real;
A = B + alpha * C;

coforall loc in targetLocales do on loc {
  coforall subloc in loc.getChildren() do on subloc {
    coforall tid in here.numCores {
      for (a,b,c) in zip(A,B,C) do a = b + alpha * c;
    }
  }
}
... and Translated Again, by the Compiler

Chapel code

coforall loc in targetLocales do on loc {
  coforall subloc in loc.getChildren() do on subloc {
    coforall tid in here.numCores {
      for (a,b,c) in zip(A,B,C) do a = b + alpha * c;
    }
  }
}

void main(...) {
  chpl_taskListAddCoStmt(fn_for_outer_coforall_stmt);
}
void fn_for_outer_coforall_stmt(...) {
  chpl_executeOn(loc, fn_for_on_stmt);
}
void fn_for_on_stmt(...) {
  chpl_taskListAddCoStmt(fn_for_middle_coforall_stmt);
}
void fn_for_middle_coforall_stmt(...) {
  chpl_taskListAddCoStmt(fn_for_inner_coforall_stmt);
}
void fn_for_inner_coforall_stmt(...) {
  for (...) { a[i] = b[i] + alpha * c[i]; } 
}

C code

Chapel compiler
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➢ Status and plans
Today’s Locale Models: flat

- Direct replacement for the old compiler-implemented model
- Same performance as old compiler-based architecture support
- Default in all cases
Today’s Locale Models: numa

- **Fully functional**
  - Tasking affinity with memory locality works properly
  - But memory locality itself needs work

- **Needs tuning**
Tomorrow’s Locale Models: “real” knc

● Current Chapel Intel Xeon Phi KNC support uses “flat”

● Duplicate and tune for KNC-specific properties (breadth, e.g.)
Tomorrow’s Locale Models: knl

- Intel Xeon Phi KNL would be an elaboration of numa
  - Similar to flat → knc
Tomorrow’s Locale Models: accelerator

- Challenge: processor heterogeneity
Tomorrow’s Locale Models: numa+accelerator

- Challenge: hierarchy and heterogeneity
- Great composability test
Improving the Implementation

- **Today’s locale model implementations could be cleaner**
  - Reflect some legacy of prototyping and experimentation

- **Would like to improve things before adding more models**
  - Restructure to remove duplication
  - Split into “building block” and “compute node” instances
Summary

- Hierarchical Locales feature helps “future proof” Chapel

- Enables separation of concerns
  - Application programmers are freed from architecture concerns
  - Domain map programmers are freed from architecture concerns
  - Compiler is freed from architecture concerns
  - Even the Chapel language is freed from architectural concerns

- Puts Chapel architectural policy in the hands of those most qualified to deal with it: architecture experts
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