

Withall  
=  
Nested For + If

Sheena Mathew,  
Div. of Computer Engg.,  
School of Engineering,  
CUSAT,  
Kerala, India.

Tomsy Paul,  
Dept. Of Computer  
Applications,  
RIT Kottayam,  
Kerala, India.

# Overview

- Typical Array processing
  - Lower Triangle of a Matrix
    - For(i=0;i<N;i++)  
    For(j=0;j<N;j++)  
        If (i<=j) A[i,j] = A[i,j] \* 0.2;
  - Withall Loop
    - withall (i<=j) A[i,j] = A[i,j] \* 0.2;

# withall : Syntax

- *withall (expression) statement*
- *statement* should contain at least one Array reference
- If there are many, all have same dimensions and size
- *expression* involves Index variables in Array reference
- Examples
  - `withall (i==j) A[i,j] = 1;`
  - `withall (i+j == N+1) printf(“%d”,A[i,j]);`
- *Expression* can also be (i,j) – Applied to all elements
  - `withall (i,j) A[i,j] = 0;`

# Motivation

- *with* loop of SAC
- $X = [1,2,3];$
- *with* ( $[0] \leq k < [2]$ ) : 7  
modarray(x)
- X becomes [7,7,3]

# Current Literature

- List Comprehension
- From Functional Programming (Python – numpy)
- Example (Haskell)
- $[(i,j)|i<-[1..3],j<-[1..3],i<=j]$
- Result
  - $[(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(2,2),(2,3),(3,3)]$
- Complexity -  $O(N^2)$

# Current Literature

- Boolean Indexing
- Present in MATLAB, Python, R, etc.
- `a=[1,2,3,4,5]`
- `a>3`
- Results in `[0,0,0,1,1]`
- `a[a>3]=3`
- `print a`
- Output `[1,2,3,3,3]`

# Implementing withall

- Syntactic Sugar
- Efficient Implementation
  - NP Hard
  - Solution ?
- Restricted Grammar

# Restricted Grammar

- withall\_loop

-> withall withall\_expression statement

| withall ID\_LIST statement

;

- withall\_expression

-> withall\_expression && withall\_expression

| withall\_expression || withall\_expression

| (withall\_expression)

| !withall\_expression

| withall\_term

;



# Restricted Grammar

- withall\_term  $\rightarrow$  ID RELOP expression
- ;
- RELOP can be any of the six operators  $<$ ,  $<=$ ,  $!=$ ,  $==$ ,  $>=$  and  $>$  and ID is any index variable used in statement.
- Example
  - $i \leq 10$
  - $j \leq i$
  - *not*  $i+j < N+1$

# Implementation

- For One Dimensional Arrays
  - Using List of Ordered Pairs, for each withall\_expression
  - Examples
    - `int a[1:100]`
      - `i < 50` represented by `{(1,49)}`
      - `i > 25` represented by `{(26,100)}`
      - `i == 50` represented by `{(50,50)}`
      - `i != 50` represented by `{(1,49),(51,100)}`

# Implementation

- For One Dimensional Arrays
  - Using List of Ordered Pairs, for each withall\_expression
  - Advantages
    - Logical And, Or and Negation can be implemented as Union, Intersection and Complement of Ordered Pairs
    - $O(m+n)$  for And and Or
    - $O(n)$  for Negation

# Implementation

- `int a[1:100]`
  - `(i < 50) && (i > 20)`
    - `{(1,49)}` Intersection `{(21,100)}`  $\rightarrow$  `{(21,49)}`
  - `(i < 50) || (i > 75)`
    - `{(1,49)}` Union `{(76,100)}`  $\rightarrow$  `{(1,49),(76,100)}`
  - `!((i < 30) && (i > 20))`
    - Complement `{(21,29)}`  $\rightarrow$  `{(1,20),(30,100)}`

# Implementation

- Language Used
- Cray Chapel
  - Free & Open Source
  - Excellent Support
  - LR Parser
  - Modules and Iterators
  - Version 1.14

# Implementation

- Platform
  - Desktop
    - Intel Xeon 2 GHz, 6 core processor
    - 8 GB RAM

# Implementation

- Procedure
  - Modified Chapel Compiler
  - Files modified
    - compiler/parser/chapel.lex
    - compiler/parser/chapel.ypp
    - compiler/AST/ForLoop.cpp
    - compiler/include/ForLoop.h
    - modules/internal/ChapelArray.chpl
    - modules/internal/ChapelIteratorSupport.chpl

# Experiment

- Withall Vs Nested For + If
- Array Size (N)
  - $10^3$
  - $10^4$
  - $10^6$
  - $10^8$



# Experiment

- Withall Vs Nested For + If
- withall\_expressions

Number	withall_expression
1	<code>i</code>
2	<code>i==N/2</code>
3	<code>i&lt;=N/2</code>
4	<code>!(i&gt;N/2)</code>
5	<code>i&lt;=N/4    i&gt;=3*N/4</code>
6	<code>i&gt;=N/4 &amp;&amp; i&lt;=N/2</code>
7	<code>!((i&gt;=N/4 &amp;&amp; i&lt;=N/2)    (i&gt;=3*N/4 &amp;&amp; i&lt;=N))</code>

# Results

- Comparison
  - Execution Time
  - Target Code Size
  - Compilation Time

# Execution Time (Seconds)

withall_ expression		Array Size			
		$10^3$	$10^4$	$10^6$	$10^8$
1	<b>w</b> <sup>a</sup>	$2.10 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.93 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.18 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.50 \times 10^{-1}$
	<b>f+i</b> <sup>b</sup>	$2.50 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.50 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.16 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.61 \times 10^{-1}$
2	<b>w</b>	$9.00 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.80 \times 10^{-6}$
	<b>f+i</b>	$2.50 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.75 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.68 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.71 \times 10^{-1}$
3	<b>w</b>	$1.80 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.14 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.04 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.52 \times 10^{-2}$
	<b>f+i</b>	$2.90 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.96 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.83 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.84 \times 10^{-1}$
4	<b>w</b>	$2.50 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.19 \times 10^{-5}$	$6.07 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.44 \times 10^{-2}$
	<b>f+i</b>	$2.70 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.98 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.83 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.84 \times 10^{-1}$
5	<b>w</b>	$3.40 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.10 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.71 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.23 \times 10^{-2}$
	<b>f+i</b>	$3.30 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.64 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.45 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.45 \times 10^{-1}$
6	<b>w</b>	$2.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$7.00 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.19 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.75 \times 10^{-2}$
	<b>f+i</b>	$3.30 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.43 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.36 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.34 \times 10^{-1}$
7	<b>w</b>	$5.30 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.52 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.96 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.00 \times 10^{-2}$
	<b>f+i</b>	$4.20 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.31 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.37 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.13 \times 10^{-1}$

<sup>a</sup>**w** denotes **withall**

<sup>b</sup>**f+i** denotes for loop + if statement

# Target Code Size (KB)

withall_ expression	Target Code Size	
	w	f+i
1	3667.89	3667.8
2	3668.04	3667.8
3	3668.04	3667.8
4	3668.08	3667.8
5	3672.37	3667.76
6	3672.27	3667.76
7	3672.40	3667.80

# Time to Compile (Seconds)

withall_ expression		Array Size			
		$10^3$	$10^4$	$10^6$	$10^8$
1	<b>w</b>	10.19	10.68	10.59	10.26
	<b>f+i</b>	10.52	10.42	10.14	9.98
2	<b>w</b>	10.60	10.38	10.42	10.36
	<b>f+i</b>	10.41	10.19	10.17	10.18
3	<b>w</b>	10.29	10.49	10.40	10.36
	<b>f+i</b>	10.13	10.17	10.21	10.16
4	<b>w</b>	10.48	10.45	10.43	10.39
	<b>f+i</b>	10.43	10.23	10.14	10.13
5	<b>w</b>	10.85	10.96	10.35	10.71
	<b>f+i</b>	10.64	10.09	10.11	10.11
6	<b>w</b>	11.21	10.51	10.43	10.45
	<b>f+i</b>	10.63	10.44	10.10	10.11
7	<b>w</b>	10.57	10.60	10.18	10.56
	<b>f+i</b>	10.50	10.14	10.09	10.02

# Conclusion

- Withall is concise
- More than a Syntactic Sugar
  - One Dimension – Tuple based
  - Much Faster than For + If
- Two Dimensional
  - List of Polygons
  - Polygon Intersection for And
  - Polygon Union for Or

# Reference

SaC-Home, <http://www.sac-home.org/doku.php>

Grelck C.: Shared memory multiprocessor support for functional array processing in SAC. *Journal of Functional Programming*, vol. 15(3), 353–401 (2005).

Python 3.7.5rc1 documentation, <https://docs.python.org/3/tutorial/datastructures.html>

NumPy v1.18.dev0 Manual,  
<https://numpy.org/devdocs/reference/arrays.indexing.html#boolean-array-indexing>

Chapel: Productive Parallel Programming,  
<https://chapel-lang.org/>

Thank You!!