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Sustained Performance Milestones

1 GF – 1988: Cray Y-MP; 8 Processors
- Static finite element analysis

1 TF – 1998: Cray T3E; 1,024 Processors
- Modeling of metallic magnet atoms

1 PF – 2008: Cray XT5; 150,000 Processors
- Superconductive materials

1 EF – ~2018: Cray ____; ~10,000,000 Processors
- TBD
Sustained Performance Milestones

1 GF – 1988: Cray Y-MP; 8 Processors
- Static finite element analysis
- Fortran77 + Cray autotasking + vectorization

1 TF – 1998: Cray T3E; 1,024 Processors
- Modeling of metallic magnet atoms
- Fortran + MPI (Message Passing Interface)

1 PF – 2008: Cray XT5; 150,000 Processors
- Superconductive materials
- C++/Fortran + MPI + vectorization

1 EF – ~2018: Cray ____; ~10,000,000 Processors
- TBD
- TBD: C/C++/Fortran + MPI + CUDA/OpenCL/OpenMP/OpenACC

Or Perhaps Something Completely Different?
STREAM Triad: a trivial parallel computation

**Given:** $m$-element vectors $A$, $B$, $C$

**Compute:** $\forall i \in 1..m, A_i = B_i + \alpha \cdot C_i$

**In pictures:**

\[
\begin{align*}
A & \quad = \\
B & \quad + \\
C & \quad \cdot \text{\textcolor{red}{\quad \alpha}}
\end{align*}
\]
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STREAM Triad: a trivial parallel computation

**Given:** $m$-element vectors $A$, $B$, $C$

**Compute:** $\forall i \in 1..m, A_i = B_i + \alpha \cdot C_i$

**In pictures, in parallel (distributed memory):**

- $A$
- $B$
- $C$
- $\alpha$

In the diagram, the elements of the vectors $A$, $B$, and $C$ are shown, with the operation $+$ indicating addition and the value of $\alpha$ shown in pink.
STREAM Triad: a trivial parallel computation

**Given:** $m$-element vectors $A$, $B$, $C$

**Compute:** $\forall i \in 1..m, A_i = B_i + \alpha \cdot C_i$

**In pictures, in parallel (distributed memory multicore):**
#include <hpcc.h>

static int VectorSize;
static double *a, *b, *c;

int HPCC_StarStream(HPCC_Params *params) {
    int myRank, commSize;
    int rv, errCount;
    MPI_Comm comm = MPI_COMM_WORLD;

    MPI_Comm_size( comm, &commSize );
    MPI_Comm_rank( comm, &myRank );

    rv = HPCC_Stream( params, 0 == myRank);
    MPI_Reduce( &rv, &errCount, 1, MPI_INT, MPI_SUM, 0, comm );

    return errCount;
}

int HPCC_Stream(HPCC_Params *params, int doIO) {
    register int j;
    double scalar;

    VectorSize = HPCC_LocalVectorSize( params, 3, sizeof(double), 0 );

    a = HPCC_XMALLOC( double, VectorSize );
    b = HPCC_XMALLOC( double, VectorSize );
    c = HPCC_XMALLOC( double, VectorSize );

    if (!a || !b || !c) {
        if (c) HPCC_free(c);
        if (b) HPCC_free(b);
        if (a) HPCC_free(a);
        if (doIO) {
            fprintf( outFile, "Failed to allocate memory (%d).\n", VectorSize);
            fclose( outFile );
        }
        return 1;
    }

    for (j=0; j<VectorSize; j++) {
        b[j] = 2.0;
        c[j] = 0.0;
    }

    scalar = 3.0;

    for (j=0; j<VectorSize; j++)
        a[j] = b[j]+scalar*c[j];

    HPCC_free(c);
    HPCC_free(b);
    HPCC_free(a);

    return 0;
}
#include <hpcc.h>
#endif

#include <omp.h>
#endif

static int VectorSize;
static double *a, *b, *c;

int HPCC_StarStream(HPCC_Params *params) {
    int myRank, commSize;
    int rv, errCount;
    MPI_Comm comm = MPI_COMM_WORLD;

    MPI_Comm_size( comm, &commSize );
    MPI_Comm_rank( comm, &myRank );

    rv = HPCC_Stream( params, 0 == myRank);
    MPI_Reduce( &rv, &errCount, 1, MPI_INT, MPI_SUM, 0, comm );

    return errCount;
}

int HPCC_Stream(HPCC_Params *params, int doIO) {
    register int j;
    double scalar;

    VectorSize = HPCC_LocalVectorSize( params, 3, sizeof(double), 0 );

    a = HPCC_XMALLOC( double, VectorSize );
    b = HPCC_XMALLOC( double, VectorSize );
    c = HPCC_XMALLOC( double, VectorSize );

    if (!a || !b || !c) {
        if (c) HPCC_free(c);
        if (b) HPCC_free(b);
        if (a) HPCC_free(a);
        if (doIO) {
            fprintf( outFile, "Failed to allocate memory
(%d).\n", VectorSize );
            fclose( outFile );
        }
        return 1;
    }

    #ifdef _OPENMP
    #pragma omp parallel for
    #endif
    for (j=0; j<VectorSize; j++) {
        b[j] = 2.0;
        c[j] = 0.0;
    }

    scalar = 3.0;

    #ifdef _OPENMP
    #pragma omp parallel for
    #endif
    for (j=0; j<VectorSize; j++)
        a[j] = b[j]+scalar*c[j];

    HPCC_free(c);
    HPCC_free(b);
    HPCC_free(a);

    return 0;
}
#define N 2000000

int main() {
    float *d_a, *d_b, *d_c;
    float scalar;

    cudaMemcpy((void**)&d_a, sizeof(float)*N);
    cudaMemcpy((void**)&d_b, sizeof(float)*N);
    cudaMemcpy((void**)&d_c, sizeof(float)*N);

    dim3 dimBlock(128);
    dim3 dimGrid(N/dimBlock.x);
    if( N % dimBlock.x != 0 ) dimGrid.x+=1;

    set_array<<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_b, .5f, N);
    set_array<<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_c, .5f, N);
    scalar=3.0f;
    STREAM_Triad<<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_b, d_c, d_a, scalar, N);

    cudaThreadSynchronize();
    cudaFree(d_a);
    cudaFree(d_b);
    cudaFree(d_c);
    return 0;
}

#define N 2000000

int main() {
    float *d_a, *d_b, *d_c;
    float scalar;

    cudaMemcpy((void**)&d_a, sizeof(float)*N);
    cudaMemcpy((void**)&d_b, sizeof(float)*N);
    cudaMemcpy((void**)&d_c, sizeof(float)*N);

    dim3 dimBlock(128);
    dim3 dimGrid(N/dimBlock.x);
    if( N % dimBlock.x != 0 ) dimGrid.x+=1;

    set_array<<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_b, .5f, N);
    set_array<<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_c, .5f, N);
    scalar=3.0f;
    STREAM_Triad<<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_b, d_c, d_a, scalar, N);
    cudaThreadSynchronize();
    cudaFree(d_a);
    cudaFree(d_b);
    cudaFree(d_c);
    return 0;
}
Why so many programming models?

HPC has traditionally given users...
...low-level, *control-centric* programming models
...ones that are closely tied to the underlying hardware
...ones that support only a single type of parallelism

Examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of HW Parallelism</th>
<th>Programming Model</th>
<th>Unit of Parallelism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inter-node</td>
<td>MPI</td>
<td>executable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-node/multicore</td>
<td>OpenMP/pthreads</td>
<td>iteration/task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction-level vectors/threads</td>
<td>pragmas</td>
<td>iteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU/accelerator</td>
<td>CUDA/OpenCL/OpenAcc</td>
<td>SIMD function/task</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**benefits:** lots of control; decent generality; easy to implement
**downsides:** lots of user-managed detail; brittle to changes
Rewinding a few slides...

**MPI + OpenMP**

```c
#include <hpcc.h>
#ifdef _OPENMP
#include <omp.h>
#endif

static int VectorSize;
static double *a, *b, *c;

int HPCC_StarStream(HPCC_Params *params) {
    int myRank, commSize;
    int rv, errCount;
    MPI_Comm comm = MPI_COMM_WORLD;
    MPI_Comm_size( comm, &commSize );
    MPI_Comm_rank( comm, &myRank );
    rv = HPCC_Stream( params, 0 == myRank);
    MPI_Reduce( &rv, &errCount, 1, MPI_INT, MPI_SUM, 0, comm );
    return errCount;
}

int HPCC_Stream(HPCC_Params *params, int doIO) {
    register int j;
    double scalar;
    if (params) {
        VectorSize = HPCC_LocalVectorSize( params, 3, sizeof(double), 0 );
        a = HPCC_XMALLOC( double, VectorSize );
        b = HPCC_XMALLOC( double, VectorSize );
        c = HPCC_XMALLOC( double, VectorSize );
    }
    if (!a || !b || !c) { if (c) HPCC_free(c);
                             if (b) HPCC_free(b);
                             if (a) HPCC_free(a);
    }
    return 1;
}
#endif

if (N % dimBlock.x != 0) dimGrid.x+=1;

set_array<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_b, .5f, N);
set_array<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_c, .5f, N);
scalar=3.0f;
STREAM_Triad<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_b, d_c, d_a, scalar, N);
cudaFree(d_a);
cudaFree(d_b);
cudaFree(d_c);
```

**CUDA**

```c
#define N 2000000

int main() {
    float *d_a, *d_b, *d_c;
    float scalar;

    cudaMalloc((void**)&d_a, sizeof(float)*N);
    cudaMalloc((void**)&d_b, sizeof(float)*N);
    cudaMalloc((void**)&d_c, sizeof(float)*N);

    dim3 dimBlock(128);

    set_array<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>(d_b, .5f, N);
    set_array<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>(d_c, .5f, N);

    dim3 dimGrid(N/dimBlock.x );
    if ( N % dimBlock.x != 0 ) dimGrid.x+=1;

    cudaThreadSynchronize();
    cudaFree(d_a);
    cudaFree(d_b);
    cudaFree(d_c);
}
```

HPC suffers from too many distinct notations for expressing parallelism and locality

if( N % dimBlock.x != 0 ) dimGrid.x+=1;

set_array<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_b, .5f, N);
set_array<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_c, .5f, N);

scalar=3.0f;
STREAM_Triad<<dimGrid,dimBlock>>>(d_b, d_c, d_a, scalar, N);
cudaThreadSynchronize();
cudaFree(d_a);
cudaFree(d_b);
cudaFree(d_c);

__global__ void set_array(float *a, float value, int len) {
    int idx = threadIdx.x + blockIdx.x * blockDim.x;
    if (idx < len) a[idx] = value;
}

__global__ void STREAM_Triad( float *a, float *b, float *c,
                               float scalar, int len) {
    int idx = threadIdx.x + blockIdx.x * blockDim.x;
    if (idx < len) c[idx] = a[idx]+scalar*b[idx];
}
**STREAM Triad: Chapel**

```chapel
config const m = 1000,
    alpha = 3.0;
const ProblemSpace = [1..m]
dmapped ...;

var A, B, C: [ProblemSpace] real;
B = 2.0;
C = 3.0;
A = B + alpha * C;
```

**Philosophy:** Good language design can tease details of locality and parallelism away from an algorithm, permitting the compiler, runtime, applied scientist, and HPC expert to each focus on their strengths.
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What is Chapel?

• An emerging parallel programming language
  • Design and development led by Cray Inc.
    • in collaboration with academia, labs, industry
  • Initiated under the DARPA HPCS program

• Overall goal: Improve programmer productivity
  • Improve the programmability of parallel computers
  • Match or beat the performance of current programming models
  • Support better portability than current programming models
  • Improve the robustness of parallel codes

• A work-in-progress
Chapel's Implementation

- Being developed as open source at SourceForge
- Licensed as BSD software

**Target Architectures:**
- Cray architectures
- multicore desktops and laptops
- commodity clusters
- systems from other vendors
- *in-progress*: CPU+accelerator hybrids, manycore, ...
Motivating Chapel Themes

1) General Parallel Programming
2) Global-View Abstractions
3) Multiresolution Design
4) Control over Locality/Affinity
5) Reduce HPC ↔ Mainstream Language Gap
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1) General Parallel Programming
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3) Multiresolution Design
4) Control over Locality/Affinity
5) Reduce HPC ↔ Mainstream Language Gap
1) General Parallel Programming

With a unified set of concepts...

...express any parallelism desired in a user’s program

- **Styles**: data-parallel, task-parallel, concurrency, nested, ...
- **Levels**: model, function, loop, statement, expression

...target all parallelism available in the hardware

- **Types**: machines, nodes, cores, instructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Style of HW Parallelism</th>
<th>Programming Model</th>
<th>Unit of Parallelism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inter-node</td>
<td>Chapel</td>
<td>executable/task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-node/m multicore</td>
<td>Chapel</td>
<td>iteration/task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction-level vectors/threads</td>
<td>Chapel</td>
<td>iteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU/accelerator</td>
<td>Chapel</td>
<td>SIMD function/task</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3) Multiresolution Design: Motivation

“Why is everything so tedious/difficult?”
“Why don’t my programs port trivially?”

“Why don’t I have more control?”
**Multiresolution Design**: Support multiple tiers of features
- higher levels for programmability, productivity
- lower levels for greater degrees of control

*Chapel language concepts*

- Domain Maps
- Data Parallelism
- Task Parallelism
- Base Language
- Locality Control

- build the higher-level concepts in terms of the lower
- permit the user to intermix layers arbitrarily
Consider:

- Students graduate with training in Java, Matlab, Perl, Python
- Yet HPC programming is dominated by Fortran, C/C++, MPI

We’d like to narrow this gulf with Chapel:

- to leverage advances in modern language design
- to better utilize the skills of the entry-level workforce...
- ...while not alienating the traditional HPC programmer
  - e.g., support object-oriented programming, but make it optional
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Base Language Features

- Domain Maps
- Data Parallelism
- Task Parallelism
- Base Language
- Locality Control
- Target Machine
const pi = 3.14,   // pi is a real
    coord = 1.2 + 3.4i,   // coord is a complex...
    coord2 = pi*coord,   // ...as is coord2
    name = "brad",   // name is a string
    verbose = false;   // verbose is boolean

proc addem(x, y) {       // addem() has generic arguments
  return x + y;       // and an inferred return type
}

var sum = addem(1, pi),   // sum is a real
    fullname = addem(name, "ford");   // fullname is a string

writeln((sum, fullname));

(4.14, bradford)
Iterators

```python
iter fibonacci(n) {
    var current = 0,
        next = 1;
    for 1..n {
        yield current;
        current += next;
        current <=/=> next;
    }
}
```

```python
for f in fibonacci(7) do writeln(f);
0
1
1
2
3
5
8
```

```python
iter tiledRMO(D, tilesize) {
    const tile = [0..#tilesize, 0..#tilesize];
    for base in D by tilesize do
        for ij in D[tile + base] do
            yield ij;
}
```

```python
for ij in tiledRMO(D, 2) do write(ij);
(1,1) (1,2) (2,1) (2,2)
(1,3) (1,4) (2,3) (2,4)
(1,5) (1,6) (2,5) (2,6)
...
(3,1) (3,2) (4,1) (4,2)
```
Other Base Language Features

- range types and algebra
- zippered iteration
- tuple types
- compile-time features for meta-programming
  - e.g., compile-time functions to compute types, params
- rank-independent programming features
- value- and reference-based OOP
- argument intents, default values, match-by-name
- overloading, where clauses
- modules (for namespace management)
- ...
Task Parallel Features

- Domain Maps
- Data Parallelism
- Task Parallelism
- Base Language
- Locality Control
- Target Machine
coforall t in 0..#numTasks do
  writeln("Hello from task ", t, " of ", numTasks);
writeln("All tasks done");

Hello from task 2 of 4
Hello from task 0 of 4
Hello from task 3 of 4
Hello from task 1 of 4
All tasks done
Other Task Parallel Features

- *begin* statements for fire-and-forget tasks
- *cobegin* statements for heterogeneous tasks
- *sync variables* for data-centric synchronization
Locality Features

- Domain Maps
- Data Parallelism
- Task Parallelism
- Base Language
- Locality Control
- Target Machine
Definition:

- Abstract unit of target architecture
- Supports reasoning about locality
- Capable of running tasks and storing variables
  - i.e., has processors and memory

Typically: A multi-core processor or SMP node
Defining Locales

- Specify # of locales when running Chapel programs
  
  \% a.out --numLocales=8  \% a.out -nl 8

- Chapel provides built-in locale variables
  
  ```chapel
  config const numLocales: int = ...;
  const Locales: [0..#numLocales] locale = ...;
  ```

  Locales:  

  | L0 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L4 | L5 | L6 | L7 |
Locale Operations

- Locale methods support queries about target system:

  ```
  proc local.physicalMemory(...) { ... }
  proc local.numCores {} ...
  proc local.id {} ...
  proc local.name {} ...
  ```

- On-clauses support placement of computations:

  ```
  writeln(“on locale 0”);
  on Locales[1] do
    writeln(“now on locale 1”);
  writeln(“on locale 0 again”);
  ```

  ```
  cobegin {
    on A[i,j] do
      bigComputation(A);
    on node.left do
      search(node.left);
  }
  ```
Data Parallel Features

- Domain Maps
- Data Parallelism
- Task Parallelism
- Base Language
- Locality Control
- Target Machine
Chapel Domain/Array Operations

- **Parallel and Serial Iteration**
  \[
  A = \text{forall} \ (i, j) \ \text{in} \ D \ \text{do} \ (i + j/10.0);
  \]

- **Array Slicing; Domain Algebra**
  \[
  A[\text{InnerD}] = B[\text{InnerD}+(0,1)];
  \]

- **Promotion of Scalar Operators and Functions**
  \[
  A = B + \alpha \times C;
  A = \exp(B, C);
  \]

- And several others: indexing, reallocation, set operations, remapping, aliasing, queries, ...
Chapel supports several types of arrays...

- dense
- strided
- sparse
- associative
- unstructured

...each of which supports its data parallel operators
Q1: How are arrays laid out in memory?

- Are regular arrays laid out in row- or column-major order? Or...

- How are sparse arrays stored? (COO, CSR, CSC, block-structured, ...?)
- What about associative and unstructured?

Q2: How are arrays stored by the locales?

- Completely local to one locale? Or distributed?
- If distributed... In a blocked manner? cyclically? block-cyclically? recursively bisected? dynamically rebalanced? ...?
Q1: How are arrays laid out in memory?

- Are regular arrays laid out in row- or column-major order? Or...

- How are sparse arrays stored? (COO, CSR, CSC, block-structured, ...?)
- What about associative and unstructured?

Q2: How are arrays stored by the locales?

- Completely local to one locale? Or distributed?
- If distributed... In a blocked manner? cyclically? block-cyclically? recursively bisected? dynamically rebalanced? ...

A: Chapel’s **domain maps** are designed to give the user full control over such decisions
const ProblemSpace = [1..m];

var A, B, C: [ProblemSpace] real;

A = B + alpha * C;
STREAM Triad: Chapel (multicore)

```chapel
const ProblemSpace = [1..m];

var A, B, C: [ProblemSpace] real;

A = B + alpha * C;
```

No domain map specified => use default layout
- current locale owns all indices and values
- computation will execute using local processors only
STREAM Triad: Chapel (multilocale, blocked)

```
const ProblemSpace = [1..m]
dmapped Block(boundingBox=[1..m]);

var A, B, C: [ProblemSpace] real;

A = B + alpha * C;
```
STREAM Triad: Chapel (multilocale, cyclic)

```chapel
const ProblemSpace = [1..m]
dmapped Cyclic(startIdx=1);

var A, B, C: [ProblemSpace] real;

A = B + alpha * C;
```
Domain Maps

Domain maps are “recipes” that instruct the compiler how to map the global view of a computation...

\[ A = B + \alpha \cdot C; \]

...to the target locales’ memory and processors:
Domain Map Types

All Chapel domain types support domain maps

- **dense**
- **strided**
- **sparse**

- **associative**
- **unstructured**
1. Chapel provides a library of standard domain maps
   • to support common array implementations effortlessly

2. Advanced users can write their own domain maps in Chapel
   • to cope with shortcomings in our standard library

3. Chapel’s standard domain maps are written using the same end-user framework
   • to avoid a performance cliff between “built-in” and user-defined cases
# Domain Map Descriptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain Map</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Array</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Represents</strong>: a domain map value</td>
<td><strong>Represents</strong>: a domain</td>
<td><strong>Represents</strong>: an array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Generic w.r.t.</strong>: index type</td>
<td><strong>Generic w.r.t.</strong>: index type</td>
<td><strong>Generic w.r.t.</strong>: index type, element type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State</strong>: the domain map’s representation</td>
<td><strong>State</strong>: representation of index set</td>
<td><strong>State</strong>: array elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Typical Size</strong>: $\Theta(1)$</td>
<td><strong>Typical Size</strong>: $\Theta(1) \rightarrow \Theta(numIndices)$</td>
<td><strong>Typical Size</strong>: $\Theta(numIndices)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required Interface</strong>:</td>
<td><strong>Required Interface</strong>:</td>
<td><strong>Required Interface</strong>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• create new domains</td>
<td>• (re-)allocation of elements</td>
<td>• (re-)allocation of elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• random access</td>
<td>• random access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• iterators: serial, parallel</td>
<td>• iterators: serial, parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• slicing, reindexing, aliases</td>
<td>• slicing, reindexing, aliases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• get/set of sparse “zero” values</td>
<td>• get/set of sparse “zero” values</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parallel iterators are defined using task/locality features:

```plaintext
iter BlockArr.lead() {
    coforall loc in Locales do
        on loc do
            coforall tid in here.numCores do
                yield computeMyChunk(loc.id, tid);
    }
```
Chapel avoids locking crucial implementation decisions into the language specification
- local and distributed array implementations
- parallel loop implementations

Instead, these can be...
...specified in the language by an advanced user
...swapped in and out with minimal code changes

The result cleanly separates the roles of domain scientist, parallel programmer, and implementation
For More Information on Domain Maps

**HotPAR’10:** *User-Defined Distributions and Layouts in Chapel: Philosophy and Framework*
Chamberlain, Deitz, Iten, Choi; June 2010

**CUG 2011:** *Authoring User-Defined Domain Maps in Chapel*
Chamberlain, Choi, Deitz, Iten, Litvinov; May 2011

**PGAS 2011:** *User-Defined Parallel Zippered Iterators in Chapel,*
Chamberlain, Choi, Deitz, Navarro; October 2011

**Chapel release:**

- Technical notes detailing domain map interface for programmers:
  
  \$CHPL_HOME/doc/technotes/README.dsi

- Current domain maps:
  
  \$CHPL_HOME/modules/dists/*.chpl
  layouts/*.chpl
  internal/Default*.chpl
Domain Maps: Next Steps

- More advanced uses of domain maps:
  - Dynamically load balanced domains/arrays
  - Resilient data structures
  - *in situ* interoperability with legacy codes
  - out-of-core computations

- Further compiler optimization via optional interfaces
  - particularly communication idioms (stencils, reductions, ...)

Outline

✓ Motivation
✓ Chapel Background and Themes
✓ Tour of Chapel Concepts
➢ Chapel and Exascale
• Wrap-up
Candidate Next-Gen HPC Processor Technologies

Intel MIC

AMD Fusion

Nvidia Echelon

Tilera Tile-Gx

General Characteristics of These Architectures

- Increased hierarchy and/or sensitivity to locality
- Heterogeneous processor and memory types

⇒ HPC (and mainstream) programmers will have a lot more to think about at the processor level
Additional Exascale Concerns

- limited memory bandwidth, memory::FLOP ratio
- resiliency concerns
- power efficiency concerns
- current programming models aren’t a good fit
- diversity of abstract machine models
  - (at least initially)

**A frightening time?**

**Or an opportunity to improve on past HPC programming models?**
Chapel: Well-Positioned for Exascale

- distinct concepts for locality and parallelism
- not particularly tied to any HW architecture
- diverse styles of parallelism
  - task parallelism to fire off asynchronous sub-computations
  - data parallelism to match SIMD functional units
  - nested parallelism
- multiresolution approach
- plausibly adoptable

*We believe these characteristics position Chapel well relative to current HPC programming models*
Chapel Limitations for Exascale, today:

- locales only support a single level of hierarchy
  - useful for horizontal (inter-node) locality
  - lacking for describing additional hierarchy within a node
- lack of fault tolerance/error handling features

In Chapel’s original design, these were both considered “version 2.0” features due to...

...our focus on petascale systems within HPCS
...the knowledge that our plate was already quite full
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Concept:

- Support locales within locales to describe architectural sub-structures within a node

- As with current locales, on-clauses can be used to map tasks or variables to a sub-locale’s memory/processors

- Locale structure is defined as Chapel code
  - introduces a new Chapel role: Architectural modeler
class locale: AbstractLocale {
    const xt = 6, yt = xTiles;
    const sublocGrid: [0..#xt, 0..#yt] tiledLoc = …;
    const allSublocs: [0..#xt*yt] tiledLoc = …;
    // tasking interface
    // memory interface
}

class tiledLoc: AbstractLocale {
    // tasking interface
    // memory interface
}
Sublocales: Hybrid Processor Example

class locale: AbstractLocale {
    const numCPUs = 2, numGPUs = 2;
    const cpus: [0..#numCPUs] cpuLoc = ...;
    const gpus: [0..#numGPUs] gpuLoc = ...;
    // tasking interface
    // memory interface
}

class cpuLoc: AbstractLocale { ... }

class gpuLoc: AbstractLocale {
    // sublocales for different
    // memory types, thread blocks...?
    // tasking, memory interfaces
}
Portability: Chapel code that refers to sub-locales causes problems for locales with different structure

Mitigation Strategies
- Well-designed domain maps should buffer the data parallel user from many of these challenges
- More advanced runtime designs and compiler work could help save most task parallel users from this level of detail
- Not a Chapel-specific challenge, fortunately

Communication Generation: A function of two locale types, not one
(and they may not be known at compile-time)
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Higher-level programming models can help insulate science from implementation

- yet, without necessarily abandoning control
- Chapel does this via its multiresolution design

Exascale represents an opportunity to move to architecture-independent programming models

- ones that support general styles of parallel programming
- ones that separate issues of locality from parallelism
Some Next Steps

- Hierarchical Locales
- Resilience Features
- Performance Optimizations
- Lock down post-HPCS Funding
- Evolve from Prototype- to Production-grade
- Evolve from Cray- to community-language
- and much more...
In a nutshell:

- Most features work at a functional level
- Many performance optimizations remain
  - particularly for distributed memory (multi-locale) execution

This is a good time to:

- Try out the language and compiler
- Use Chapel for non-performance-critical projects
- Give us feedback to improve Chapel
- Use Chapel for parallel programming education
Chapel and Education

- If I were teaching parallel programming, I’d want to cover:
  - data parallelism
  - task parallelism
  - concurrency
  - synchronization
  - locality/affinity
  - deadlock, livelock, and other pitfalls
  - performance tuning
  - ...

- I don’t think there’s been a good language out there...
  - for teaching *all* of these things
  - for teaching some of these things well at all
  - *until now:* We believe Chapel can potentially play a crucial role here

(see [http://chapel.cray.com/education.html](http://chapel.cray.com/education.html) for more information)
Join Our Growing Community

- **Cray:**
  - Brad Chamberlain
  - Sung-Eun Choi
  - Greg Titus
  - Vass Litvinov
  - Tom Hildebrandt

- **External Collaborators:**
  - Albert Sidelnik (UIUC)
  - Jonathan Turner (CU Boulder)
  - Kyle Wheeler (Sandia)

- **Interns:**
  - Jonathan Claridge (UW)
  - Hannah Hemmaplardh (UW)
  - Andy Stone (Colorado State)
  - Jim Dinan (OSU)
  - Rob Bocchino (UIUC)
  - Mackale Joyner (Rice)

You? Your Friend/Student/Colleague? (open positions)
Featured Collaborations (see chapel.cray.com/collaborations.html for details)

- **Tasking using Qthreads**: Sandia (Rich Murphy, Kyle Wheeler, Dylan Stark)
  - paper at CUG, May 2011
- **Interoperability using Babel/BRAID**: LLNL (Tom Epperly, Adrian Prantl, et al.)
  - paper at PGAS, Oct 2011
- **Dynamic Iterators**: 
- **Bulk-Copy Opt**: U Malaga (Rafael Asenjo, Maria Angeles Navarro, et al.)
- **Parallel File I/O**: 
  - paper at ParCo, Aug 2011
- **Improved I/O & Data Channels**: LTS (Michael Ferguson)
- **CPU-GPU Computing**: UIUC (David Padua, Albert Sidelnik, Maria Garzarán)
  - tech report, April 2011
- **Interfaces/Generics/OOP**: CU Boulder (Jeremy Siek, Jonathan Turner)
- **Tasking over Nanos++**: BSC/UPC (Alex Duran)
- **Tuning/Portability/Enhancements**: ORNL (Matt Baker, Jeff Kuehn, Steve Poole)
- **Chapel-MPI Compatibility**: Argonne (Rusty Lusk, Pavan Balaji, Jim Dinan, et al.)
Collaboration Ideas (see chapel.cray.com/collaborations.html for details)

- memory management policies/mechanisms
- dynamic load balancing: task throttling and stealing
- parallel I/O and checkpointing
- exceptions; resiliency
- application studies and performance optimizations
- index/subdomain semantics and optimizations
- targeting different back-ends (LLVM, MS CLR, ...)
- runtime compilation
- library support
- tools: debuggers, performance analysis, IDEs, interpreters, visualizers
- database-style programming
- autotuning
- (your ideas here...
For More Information

Chapel project page: http://chapel.cray.com
  • overview, papers, presentations, language spec, ...

Chapel SourceForge page: https://sourceforge.net/projects/chapel/
  • release downloads, public mailing lists, code repository, ...

Mailing Lists:
  • chapel_info@cray.com: contact the team
  • chapel-users@lists.sourceforge.net: user-oriented discussion list
  • chapel-developers@lists.sourceforge.net: dev.-oriented discussion
  • chapel-education@lists.sourceforge.net: educator-oriented discussion
  • chapel-bugs@lists.sourceforge.net: public bug forum
  • chapel_bugs@cray.com: private bug mailing list