Striving for Productivity and Performance Portability

Brad Chamberlain, Chapel Team, Cray Inc. Performance Portability in Extreme Scale Computing: Metrics Challenges, Solutions (Dagstuhl 17431)

October 26, 2017





COMPUTE STORE ANALYZE

If the HPC Community were to create a truly productive language... ...would we ever know?

Brad Chamberlain, Chapel Team, Cray Inc.

Performance Portability in Extreme Scale Computing: Metrics Challenges, Solutions (Dagstuhl 17431)

October 26, 2017





COMPUTE | STORE | ANALYZE

If the HPC Community were to create a truly productive language... ...how would we ever know?

Brad Chamberlain, Chapel Team, Cray Inc. Performance Portability in Extreme Scale Computing:

Metrics Challenges, Solutions (Dagstuhl 17431)

October 26, 2017





COMPUTE | STORE | ANALYZE

Safe Harbor Statement

This presentation may contain forward-looking statements that are based on our current expectations. Forward looking statements may include statements about our financial guidance and expected operating results, our opportunities and future potential, our product development and new product introduction plans, our ability to expand and penetrate our addressable markets and other statements that are not historical facts. These statements are only predictions and actual results may materially vary from those projected. Please refer to Cray's documents filed with the SEC from time to time concerning factors that could affect the Company and these forward-looking statements.



COMPUTE | STORE | ANALYZE Copyright 2017 Cray Inc.



My Background

Education:

- tion: Computer Science & Engineering
- Earned Ph.D. from University of Washington CSE in 2001
 - focused on the ZPL data-parallel array language
- Remain associated with UW CSE as an Affiliate Professor

Industry R&D:

- Currently a Principal Engineer at Cray Inc.
- Technical lead / founding member of the Chapel project











Disclaimers

• This talk focuses a lot on languages, due to my biases

• That said, many points likely apply to other HPC software...

• I tend to use the term "language" in a very loose sense

- "A way of communicating your intent to the computer"
- Think "programming model" or "programming notation" if you prefer





ANALYZE

Do we have productive HPC languages?

Scenario 1: Brad leaves his HPC bubble to ask a colleague:

- "I need to write a desktop program. You're young and hip, what productive language should I use?"
 - what does it say that I didn't simply use my HPC language for this...?
- likely responses: Python, Swift, Go, R, Matlab, ...

Scenario 2: Colleague asks Brad:

- "I need to write some general-purpose distributed memory code. You've worked in HPC for decades, what should I use?"
- my response: ... [awkward embarrassment for our community]



HPC Language Characterizations

Current languages

- pragmatic
- bottom-up design
 - driven by system capabilities
 - mechanism-oriented
- alphabet soup
- NASCAR
- punk rock
- worthy of respect

Productive languages

- idealistic
- top-down design
 - driven by end-user needs
 - intent-oriented
- something more coherent
- tricked-out BMW i8
- classical symphony
- worth striving for



TORE

Productivity: Played Out?

• There's some sense that productivity isn't "hot" anymore

• "Haven't we [solved | given up on] that by now?"

Arguably analogous to "peace"

- not particularly "new" or "hip" as a concept
- reasonable reasons for skepticism about our ability to achieve it
 - for productivity, these are more social than technical, in my opinion
- yet, clearly something to desire / strive for over the alternative

• Personally, I prefer not to throw in the towel (in either case)



Productivity and HPCS

(a brief history, from my perspective)

COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

Chapel's Origins: HPCS

DARPA HPCS: High *Productivity* Computing Systems

- Goal: improve productivity by a factor of 10x
- **Timeframe:** Summer 2002 Fall 2012
- Three phases, five competitors: Cray, HP, IBM, SGI, Sun
- Cray developed a new system architecture, network, software stack
 - (this became the very successful Cray[®] XC30[™] Supercomputer Series)
 ...and a new programming language: Chapel

nd a new programming language: Chapel







STOR

ANALYZE







- performance
- + programmability (readability, writability, maintainability, modifiability, tunability, ...)
- + portability
- + robustness

A reasonable starting point... but how to measure 10x?
particularly since most of these are hard to measure individually?
In phase 2, an independent team was created to define this



TORE

ANALYZE

My "Zany Metrics" (an early brainstorming exercise)





COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

"Language Bingo"



| DARPA | Language Comparison HPC | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| [| MPI | SHMEM | Java | UPC | CAF | HPF | OpenMP | Fortran C | | | |
| Performs Well | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | ~ | | | |
| Portable | 0 | ? | 0 | ? | ? | ~ | X | ~ | | | |
| Performance Model | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | X | X | X | | | |
| Global View | X | X | X | X | X | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Post-scalar | ~/X | ~/X | 0 | X | ~ | ~ | ~/ X | ~/X | | | |
| Abstractions | Χ | X | 0 | ~ | ~ | X | X | X | | | |
| Succinct | X | X | X | X | X | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | |
| General | 0 | 0 | 0 | ~ | X | X | X | 0 | | | |
| GeneralOOO~XXO = no commentO = good~ = so-soX = poor? = unproven | | | | | | | | | | | |

(source: an early HPCS productivity meeting)



COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

Sterling's Model of Productivity





COMPUTE | STORE | ANALYZE Copyright 2017 Cray Inc.

Sterling's Model of Productivity

General Model of Productivity

- $R_i \equiv i^{th}$ result product
- $T_i \equiv \text{time to compute result } R_i$
- $T_L =$ total lifetime of machine
- $T_{V} =$ total overhead time of machine
- $T_{\mathcal{Q}} =$ quiescent time of machine
- $T_R =$ working time of machine
- $N_R = \text{total number of result products during } T_L$
- $C_L =$ all costs associated with machine during T_L
- $C_{LS} =$ application software costs during T_L
- $C_{LO} = \text{costs of ownership during } T_L$
- $C_M = \text{cost of procurement and initial installation}$ $C_{S_i} = \text{cost of application software for result } R_i$ $\Psi \neq \text{productivity}$

$$T_{R} = \sum_{i}^{N_{R}} T_{i}$$

$$T_{L} = T_{R} + T_{V} + T_{Q}$$

$$R_{L} = \sum_{i}^{N_{R}} R_{i}$$

$$C_{L} = C_{LS} + C_{M} + C_{LO}$$

$$C_{LS} = \sum_{i}^{N_{R}} C_{Si}$$

$$\Psi = \frac{R_{L}}{C_{L} \times T_{L}}$$

37-



ANALYZE





Various Teams' Models of Productivity

COMPLITE





Copyright 2017 Cray Inc.

ANALYZE

The Application Kernel Matrix



HPCS Workflows



(source: Cray government review)



Copyright 2017 Cray Inc.

ANALYZE

COMPUTE

Timed Markov Models



(source: Cray government review)





ANALYZE

COMPUTE

User Studies: Quantitative Evaluation

COMPLITE



(source: Cray government review)



Copyright 2017 Cray Inc.

ANALYZE

21

User Studies: Qualitative Evaluation

"The biggest feature from a broad perspective for me was domains. Especially for scientific codes, it is invaluable to be able to define the couple problem domains you're working with. It makes it trivial to change the size or layout or distribution if you decide you need to, it helps guarantee that all of your different arrays match up. **A 3D rectangular grid is infinitely more clear in Chapel with domains than in C**, where you have to figure out how they laid it out (is it one giant array? what is the major dimension? x? z? y?)."

"I loved not having to think as hard about offsets and counts for the parallel version of the code in Chapel, as opposed to the MPI version, where I almost always had to chase down two or three indexing errors."

"Lastly, **I'm a huge huge fan of the type inference used in Chapel.** I like that I don't have to specify types everywhere--they can just be inferred from how I'm using them, but if I mess something up, the compiler catches it."



COMPUTE

TORE

ANAI Y7F

Summary: Many Useful Concepts/Techniques...

BUT...

COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

Which is more productive?

COMPUTE





Copyright 2017 Cray Inc.

ANALYZE



Which is more productive? (tipping my hand) ⊂ ¬ → →





Which is more productive?

COMPUTE

| ● ⊖ ⑧ | | Search | Searching "Inbox" - All Accounts |
|---|--|--|--|
| ALPINE 2.00 ZOOMED MESSAGE INDEX Folder: INBOX Message 372 of 377 ANS + | New New Delete Archive | Reply Reply Forward 1 Attachment Move Junk | Rules Read/Unread Categorize Follow Filter Find a Contact Se |
| X A 262 Oct 16 Dubey, Anshu (74K) Re: Reynote at Dagstuhl X A 266 Oct 17 Vetter, Jeffrey S. (.1M) [seminar-17431] flash introduction t X 267 Oct 17 Vetter, Jeffrey S. (71K) RE: Keynote at Dagstuhl | Email Items All Accounts | By: Date Received ~ ↓ | [seminar-17431] links for our shared docs 24 Oct 2017 |
| X A 268 Wednesday Michelle Strout (94K) Re: dagstuhl planning X 300 Sunday Brad Chamberlain (19M) Fwd: Airplane draft X A 307 Yesterday Luiz DeRose (51K) RE: Can you call me today or tomorro X 313 Yesterday Vetter leffrey S (80K) Cerminar-174311 reminder to post you | Indux 69 Import 2 Import 2 Import Sent Import 88 | Today Luiz DeRose Re: Can you call me today or tomorrow 11:03 AM Hi Brad, Yes, it is 7 hours difference. how about 8: | Vetter, Jeffrey S. <vetter@ornl.gov> sent by seminar-17431 <seminar-17431-bounces@portal.dagstu 10:08="" 2017="" 24,="" am<="" at="" dagstuhl-seminar-17431="" october="" td="" tresday,=""></seminar-17431-bounces@portal.dagstu></vetter@ornl.gov> |
| XA 3330:26Petra Meyer(5M)Encyclopedia of Parallel ComputingX3352:03Luiz DeRose(39K)Re: Can you call me today or tomorro | ✓ Cray ○ Inbox 69 | Vetter, Jeffrey S. (sent by seminar-17431) • [seminar-17431] links for our share 10:08 AM All: here are links for our shared documents: 1. Ho | Show Details All: here are links for our shared documents: |
| 3441:07Vetter, Jeffrey S. (93K) [seminar-17431] links for our shared37210:56Richard Membarth(66K) Region Vectorizer (RV) | ✓ Drafts 2 ✓ Archive ✓ Sent | Petra Meyer Ø <>> Encyclopedia of Parallel Computing 9:28 AM Dear Brad, you can find the Encyclopedia of Parall | Home http://www.dagstuhl.de/en/program/calendar/semip//semi Materials http://materials.dagstuhl.de/index.php?semr=1743 Agenda http://i.mp/dagstuhl/7431agenda Shared Notes http://i.mp/dagstuhl/7431agenda |
| | Trash 88 Junk Clutter | ✓ Yesterday Luiz DeRose RE: Can you call me today or tomorrow Yesterday What time works for you? I might be able to skype | S. Kideshare <u>http://j.mp/dagstuhl1/431rides</u> - please update your Best regards, - Bernd, Paul, Anshu, Jeff |
| | Online Archive Conversation History LiveMeetings | Vetter, Jeffrey S. (sent by seminar-17431) [seminar-17431] reminder to post you Yesterday Attendees: This is a reminder to post your contrib | |
| [No more messages in Zoomed Index] 7 Help ≤ FldrList P PrevMsg - PrevPage D Delete R Reply | quarantine RSS Subscriptions Sync Issues Smart Folders | Sunday Brad Chamberlain Fwd: Airplane draft 10/22/17 Forwarded message From: B | Jerrey 3. verter <u>http://tto/http://verter</u> +1-003-330-1049 |
| 0 OTHER CMDS <mark>></mark> [ViewMsg] <mark>N</mark> NextMsg Spc NextPage U Undelete F Forward | > On My Computer | ✓ Wednesday Michelle Strout € Re: dagstuhl planning 10/18/17 Brad, You are awesome! I like the direct train to St 10/18/17 | |
| | | ✓ Last Week Vetter, Jeffrey S. RE: Keynote at Dagstuhl 10/17/17 I could not find anything wrt aspect ratio, but it lo | |
| | Items: 10 | Vottor Inffrance (cant bu cominar 17821) 🥂 | |



STORE Copyright 2017 Cray Inc. ANALYZE

Productivity: Your Mileage May Vary

Productivity is a highly personal, social phenomenon

• "I'll know it when I see it"

• To that end, our evaluations should be social, not analytic

- Support personal weighing of tradeoffs
- Allocate time and spaces for evaluating potential solutions





Poll: How many are familiar with the Computer Language Benchmarks Game?



COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

The Computer Language Benchmarks Game

64-bit quad core data set

Will your toy benchmark program be faster if you write it in a different programming language? It depends how you write it!

Which programs are fast?

Which are succinct? Which are efficient?

| | Ada | <u>c</u> | Chap | pel | <u>C#</u> | <u>C++</u> | Da | rt |
|-----|---------------|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|------------|--------|-------|
| | Erlan | 5 | <u>F#</u> | Fort | ran | Go | Нас | k |
| Has | kell | Ja | va | Java | Scrip | t l | isp | Lua |
| 0 | Caml | Pa | scal | Pe | rl | PHP | Pytł | non |
| Rac | ket | Rub | y | JRuby | Ru | ust | Small | ltalk |
| | | 5 | wift | Ту | peScr | ipt | | |
| { 1 | for <u>re</u> | sear | chers | } | fast | -fast | er-fas | test |
| | | | | stor | les | | | |
| | | | | | | CC | DMF | UTE |

Website supporting crosslanguage comparisons

- 13 toy benchmark programs x
 ~28 languages x several entries
 - exercise key computational idioms
 - specific approach prescribed



The Computer Language Benchmarks Game

64-bit quad core data set

Will your toy benchmark program be faster if you write it in a different programming language? It depends how you write it!

Which programs are fast?

Which are succinct? Which are efficient?

| Ada | <u>c</u> | Cha | pel | <u>C#</u> | <u>C++</u> | Da | rt |
|----------------|----------|-----------|-------|--------------------|------------|--------|------|
| Erla | ng | <u>F#</u> | For | tran | Go | Нас | k |
| Haskell | Ja | ava | Java | aScrip | ot l | isp | Lua |
| OCaml | Pa | ascal | Pe | erl | PHP | Pyth | non |
| Racket | Rut | у | JRuby | <u>/ R</u> | ust | Small | talk |
| | 1 | Swift | Ţ | ypeSc | ript | | |
| { for <u>r</u> | esear | chers | s } | <u>fast</u> ies | -faste | er-fas | test |
| | | | | | CC | DMF | UT |

Website supporting crosslanguage comparisons

- 13 toy benchmark programs x
 - ~28 languages x many implementations
 - exercise key computational idioms
 - specific approach prescribed

Take results with a grain of salt

• your mileage may vary

That said, it is one of the only such games in town...

STORE

ANALYZE

The Computer Language Benchmarks Game

64-bit quad core data set

Will your toy benchmark program be faster if you write it in a different programming language? It depends how you write it!

Which programs are fast?

Which are succinct? Which are efficient?

| Ada | <u>c</u> | Cha | pel | <u>C#</u> | <u>C++</u> | Da | rt |
|----------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|--------|-------|
| Erlar | g | <u>F#</u> | For | tran | Go | Нас | k |
| Haskell | Ja | iva | Java | aScrip | pt | Lisp | Lua |
| OCaml | Pa | scal | Pe | erl | PHP | Pytl | hon |
| Racket | Rub | <u>y</u> | JRuby | <u>/ R</u> | lust | Smal: | ltalk |
| | 5 | Swift | <u>T</u> | ypeSc | ript | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| { for re | sear | chers | }< | fast | t-fast | er-fas | test |
| | | | stor | ies | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | CC | | DUTE |

Chapel's approach to the CLBG:

• striving for elegance over heroism

ANALY7E

• ideally: "Want to learn how program *xyz* works? Read the Chapel version."

CLBG: Fast-faster-fastest graph (Sep 2016)

Relative performance, sorted by geometric mean



CLBG: Fast-faster-fastest graph (May 2017)

Relative performance, sorted by geometric mean





CLBG: Fast-faster-fastest graph (Sept 2017)

Relative performance, sorted by geometric mean ⁹ How many times slower?



CLBG: Website



1% 1% 1%

Can sort results by execution time, code size, memory or CPU use:

| | The Computer Language Benchmarks Game | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---------|----------|---------|------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | pidigits <u>description</u> program source c measurements | ode, co | mmand-li | ine and | ł | | | | | | |
| × | source | secs | mem | gz | cpu | cpu load | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Chapel #2 | 1.62 | 34,024 | 423 | 1.64 | 99% 3% 1% 4% | | | | | |
| 1.0 | Chapel | 1.62 | 33,652 | 501 | 1.64 | 100% 0% 1% 1% | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Pascal Free Pascal #3 | 1.73 | 2,284 | 482 | 1.72 | 1% 100% 1% 1% | | | | | |
| 1.1 | C gcc | 1.73 | 2,116 | 448 | 1.73 | 1% 99% 1% 0% | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Ada 2005 GNAT #2 | 1.74 | 3,776 | 1065 | 1.73 | 1% 0% 100% 0% | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Rust #2 | 1.74 | 7,876 | 1306 | 1.74 | 1% 100% 1% 1% | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Rust | 1.74 | 7,892 | 1420 | 1.74 | 100% 1% 2% 1% | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Swift #2 | 1.75 | 8,532 | 601 | 1.75 | 100% 1% 1% 0% | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Lisp SBCL #4 | 1.79 | 25,164 | 940 | 1.79 | 3% 2% 1% 100% | | | | | |
| 1.2 | C++ g++ #4 | 1.89 | 3,868 | 508 | 1.89 | 100% 1% 2% 1% | | | | | |
| 1.2 | Lua #5 | 1.94 | 3,248 | 479 | 1.93 | 1% 1% 1% 99% | | | | | |
| 1.2 | <u>Go #3</u> | 2.02 | 10,744 | 603 | 2.02 | 2% 0% 5% 96% | | | | | |
| 1.3 | PHP #5 | 2.15 | 9,884 | 394 | 2.15 | 1% 0% 100% 1% | | | | | |
| 1.3 | <u>PHP #4</u> | 2.16 | 9,856 | 384 | 2.16 | 100% 0% 0% 2% | | | | | |
| 1.3 | Racket #2 | 2.17 | 27,660 | 1122 | 2.17 | 100% 0% 1% 0% | | | | | |

COMPUTE

| | The Con Benchma | | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------|
| | pidigit description program s measureme | source code, ents | command- | line and | ł | |
| × | source | secs | mem | gz | cpu | cpu load |
| 1.0 | Perl #4 | 3.53 | 6,836 | 261 | 3.52 | 0% 0% 1% 100% |
| 1.5 | Python 3 #2 | 3.51 | 10,344 | 382 | 3.50 | 0% 2% 1% 100% |
| 1.5 | PHP #4 | 2.16 | 9,856 | 384 | 2.16 | 100% 0% 0% 2% |
| 1.5 | Perl #2 | 3.92 | 6,784 | 385 | 3.92 | 1% 0% 33% 68% |
| 1.5 | <u>PHP #5</u> | 2.15 | 9,884 | 394 | 2.15 | 1% 0% 100% 1% |
| 1.6 | Chapel #2 | 1.62 | 34,024 | 423 | 1.64 | 99% 3% 1% 4% |
| 1.7 | C gcc | 1.73 | 2,116 | 448 | 1.73 | 1% 99% 1% 0% |
| 1.7 | Perl | 15.87 | 9,032 | 452 | 15.86 | 1% 100% 1% 1% |
| 1.7 | Racket | 25.63 | 130,528 | 453 | 25.58 | 100% 0% 1% 1% |
| 1.8 | Lua #7 | 3.76 | 3,192 | 477 | 3.75 | 1% 100% 0% 2% |
| 1.8 | Ruby #5 | 3.14 | 477,092 | 478 | 3.12 | 0% 100% 2% 1% |
| 1.8 | Lua #5 | | / | | | % 1% 1% 99% |
| 1.8 | Pascal Free Pas | gz == (| code s | ize m | etric | 6 100% 1% 1% |
| 1.9 | Lisp SBCL #3 | strip co | mment | s and | extra | 6 1% 100% 1% |
| 1.9 | PHP #3 | white | snace | then c | nzin | 0% 0% 0% 1% |



ANALYZE
CLBG: Website



Can also compare languages pair-wise:

COMPUTE

 but only sorted by execution speed...

| | The Com Benchma | puter Lang rks Game | uage | | |
|---------------|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | Chapel p all other Cha by benchma | rograms ve apel programs & ark task per | rsus Foi & measurem formance | rtran Intel ^{nents} | |
| k-nucleotide | | | | | |
| source | secs | mem | gz | cpu | cpu load |
| Chapel | 16.69 | 350,432 | 1063 | 62.96 | 100% 92% 93% 93% |
| Fortran Intel | 87.62 | 203,604 | 2238 | 87.57 | 1% 0% 100% 0% |
| fasta | | | | | |
| source | secs | mem | gz | cpu | cpu load |
| Chapel | 1.71 | 52,184 | 1392 | 5.90 | 99% 82% 83% 82% |
| Fortran Intel | 2 53 | 9 | 1227 | 2 52 | 0% 1% 0% 100% |

ANALYZE



Scatter plots of CLBG code size x speed



Compressed Code Size (normalized to smallest entry)





CLBG Language Cross-Language Summary (Oct 2017 standings)





CLBG Language Cross-Language Summary (Oct 2017 standings, zoomed in)





CLBG Language Cross-Language Summary (Oct 2017 standings, zoomed in)





CLBG Language Cross-Language Summary (Oct 2017 standings)





CLBG: Qualitative Comparisons

Can also browse program source code (but this requires actual thought!):

```
proc main()
  printColorEquations():
  const group1 = [i in 1..popSize1] new Chameneos(i, ((i-1)%3):Color);
  const group2 = [i in 1..popSize2] new Chameneos(i, colors10[i]);
  cobegin {
   holdMeetings(group1, n);
   holdMeetings(group2, n);
  1
  print(group1);
  print(group2);
  for c in group1 do delete c;
  for c in group2 do delete c;
// Print the results of getNewColor() for all color pairs.
proc printColorEquations() {
 for c1 in Color do
   for c2 in Color do
      writeln(c1, " + ", c2, " -> ", getNewColor(c1, c2));
 writeln();
// Hold meetings among the population by creating a shared meeting
// place, and then creating per-chameneos tasks to have meetings.
proc holdMeetings(population, numMeetings) {
  const place = new MeetingPlace(numMeetings);
  coforall c in population do
                                        // create a task per chameneos
   c.haveMeetings(place, population);
  delete place:
```

excerpt from 1210 gz Chapel entry



```
COMPUTE
```

TORE

ANALYZE

Copyright 2017 Cray Inc.

void get affinity(**int*** is smp, cpu set t* affinity1, cpu set t* affinity2) active cpus; cpu set t FILE* f: char buf [2048]; char const* pos; int cpu idx; int physical id; int core id; int cpu cores; int apic id; size t cpu count; size t i: char const* processor str = "processor"; size t processor str len = strlen(processor str); physical id str char const* = "physical id": size t physical id str len = strlen(physical id str); char const* core id str = "core id"; core id str len = strlen(core id str); size t char_const* cpu cores str = "cpu cores"; size t cpu cores str len = strlen(cpu cores str); CPU ZERO(&active cpus); sched getaffinity(0, sizeof(active cpus), &active cpus); cpu count = 0;for (i = 0; i != CPU SETSIZE; i += 1) if (CPU ISSET(i, &active cpus)) cpu count += 1; if (cpu_count == 1) is smp[0] = 0;return; is smp[0] = 1;CPU ZERO(affinity1); excerpt from 2863 gz C gcc entry

43

CLBG: Qualitative Comparisons

Can also browse program source code (but this requires actual thought!):



CLBG: Qualitative Comparisons

Can also browse program source code (but this requires actual thought!):



Benchmark Suite Scorecard (EMBRACE 2017)



46

Benchmark Suite Scorecard (EMBRACE 2017)



47

My Vision for Advancing Productive Alternatives



1. Establish an ongoing, online language bake-off

- The "HPC Computer Language Benchmarks Game"
- Support personal comparisons between technologies

• Challenges:

- Finding someone to be the enthusiastic host and benevolent dictator
- What systems to run on? and whose?
- What benchmarks? Intel PRK suite?
- How to manage entries? What rules? How reviewed?
- Website design and implementation





Scalable Parallel Programming Concerns

Q: What should parallel programmers focus on?
A: Serial Code: Software engineering and performance *Parallelism:* What should execute simultaneously? *Locality:* Where should those tasks execute? *Mapping:* How to map the program to the system?

For portable performance? Separation of Concerns: (drink!)



STORE

Why Consider New Languages at all?

- Do we need a language? And a compiler?
 - If higher-level syntax is needed for productivity
 - We need a language
 - If static analysis is needed to help with correctness
 - We need a compiler (front-end)
 - If static optimizations are needed to get performance
 - We need a compiler (back-end)

(Source: HPCS productivity workshop panel, ~2004?)



ORE



Poll: Familiar with Chapel?



COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE





Poll: Familiar with Chapel? Have opinions about Chapel?



COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE



Poll: Familiar with Chapel? Have opinions about Chapel? Have downloaded / tried Chapel?



COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

Poll: Familiar with Chapel? Have opinions about Chapel? Have downloaded / tried Chapel? Within the past 12–18 months?



COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

What is Chapel?

Chapel: A productive parallel programming language

- portable
- open-source
- a collaborative effort

Goals:



- Support general parallel programming
 - "any parallel algorithm on any parallel hardware"
- Make parallel programming at scale far more productive





What does "Productivity" mean to you?

Recent Graduates:

"something similar to what I used in school: Python, Matlab, Java, ..."

Seasoned HPC Programmers:

"that sugary stuff that I don't need because I was born to suffer" want full control to ensure performance"

Computational Scientists:

"something that lets me express my parallel computations without having to wrestle with architecture-specific details"

Chapel Team:

"something that lets computational scientists express what they want, without taking away the control that HPC programmers want, implemented in a language as attractive as recent graduates want."



TORE



Chapel and Productivity

Chapel strives to be...

- ...as programmable as Python ...as fast as Fortran ...as scalable as MPI (or SHMEM or UPC) ...as portable as C ...as flexible as C++
- ...as fun as [your favorite programming language]









The Chapel Team at Cray (May 2017)







STORE

ANALYZE

Chapel Community R&D Efforts



(and several others...)

http://chapel.cray.com/collaborations.html



COMPUTE

TORE

ANALYZE

The Challenge



Q: So why don't we already have such a language already? A: Technical challenges?

• while they exist, we don't think this is the main issue...

A: Due to a lack of...

- ...long-term efforts
- ...resources
- ...community will
- ...co-design between developers and users

COMPLITE

...patience

Chapel is our attempt to reverse this trend



STORE

ANALYZE

Chapel language feature areas







COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

Base Language





COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE























Task Parallelism and Locality Control





| ST

COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE



Task Parallelism and Locality, by example



prompt> chpl taskParallel.chpl -o taskParallel
prompt> ./taskParallel --numLocales=2
Hello from task 1 of 2 running on n1033
Hello from task 2 of 2 running on n1032
Hello from task 2 of 2 running on n1033
Hello from task 1 of 2 running on n1032





COMPUTE

ANALYZE


Hello from task 1 of 2 running on n1032



ANALYZE

COMPUTE

Task P

COMPUTE

| High-Level sk Parallelism | | taskParallel.chpl | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | -coforall loc in Locales do | | | | | | |
| | | on loc { | | | | | | |
| | | <pre>const numTasks = here.maxTaskPar;</pre> | | | | | | |
| | coforall tid in 1numPUs() do | | | | | | | |
| | | <pre>writef("Hello from task %n of %n "+</pre> | | | | | | |
| | | "running on %s\n", | | | | | | |
| | | <pre>tid, numTasks, here.name);</pre> | | | | | | |
| | | } | | | | | | |
| prompt> chpl taskParallel.chpl -o taskParallel | | | | | | | | |
| | prompt> ./taskParallelnumLocales=2 | | | | | | | |
| | Hell | o from task 1 of 2 running on n1033 | | | | | | |
| | Hell | o from task 2 of 2 running on n1032 | | | | | | |
| | Hell | o from task 2 of 2 running on n1033 | | | | | | |
| | Hell | o from task 1 of 2 running on n1032 | | | | | | |



ANALYZE

```
taskParallel.chpl
coforall loc in Locales do
on loc {
    const numTasks = here.maxTaskPar;
    coforall tid in 1..numPUs() do
    writef("Hello from task %n of %n "+
        "running on %s\n",
        tid, numTasks, here.name);
```

Control of Locality/Affinity

COMPUTE

prompt> chpl taskParallel.chpl -o taskParallel
prompt> ./taskParallel --numLocales=2
Hello from task 1 of 2 running on n1033
Hello from task 2 of 2 running on n1032
Hello from task 2 of 2 running on n1033
Hello from task 1 of 2 running on n1032





ANALYZE





ANALYZE

COMPUTE

COMPUTE



ANALYZE





taskParallel.chpl

```
coforall loc in Locales do
on loc {
   const numTasks = here.maxTaskPar;
   coforall tid in 1..numPUs() do
   writef("Hello from task %n of %n "+
        "running on %s\n",
        tid, numTasks, here.name);
```

Not seen here:

Data-centric task coordination via atomic and full/empty vars

COMPUTE

| prompt | c> chr | ol tas | skI | Para | a 11 | Lel.chpl | -0 | taskParalle |
|--------|--------|--------|-----|------|-------------|----------|------|-------------|
| prompt | z> .∕t | caskPa | ara | alle | el | numLoc | cale | es=2 |
| Hello | from | task | 1 | of | 2 | running | on | n1033 |
| Hello | from | task | 2 | of | 2 | running | on | n1032 |
| Hello | from | task | 2 | of | 2 | running | on | n1033 |
| Hello | from | task | 1 | of | 2 | running | on | n1032 |



ORE

ANALYZE



prompt> chpl taskParallel.chpl -o taskParallel
prompt> ./taskParallel --numLocales=2
Hello from task 1 of 2 running on n1033
Hello from task 2 of 2 running on n1032
Hello from task 2 of 2 running on n1033
Hello from task 1 of 2 running on n1032





COMPUTE

Higher-Level Features





COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

dataParallel.chpl

```
config const n = 1000;
var D = {1..n, 1..n};
```

```
var A: [D] real;
forall (i,j) in D do
    A[i,j] = i + (j - 0.5)/n;
writeln(A);
```

prompt> chpl dataParallel.chpl -o dataParallel
prompt> ./dataParallel --n=5
1.1 1.3 1.5 1.7 1.9
2.1 2.3 2.5 2.7 2.9
3.1 3.3 3.5 3.7 3.9
4.1 4.3 4.5 4.7 4.9
5.1 5.3 5.5 5.7 5.9



COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE





COMPUTE

TORE

dataParallel.chpl





COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

dataParallel.chpl





COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

Domain Maps (Map Data Parallelism to the System)

dataParallel.chpl use CyclicDist; config const n = 1000; **var** $D = \{1..., 1...\}$ dmapped Cyclic(startIdx = (1,1)); var A: D real; forall (i,j) in D do A[i,j] = i + (j - 0.5)/n;writeln(A); prompt> chpl dataParallel.chpl -o dataParallel prompt> ./dataParallel --n=5 --numLocales=4 1.1 1.3 1.5 1.7 1.9 2.1 2.3 2.5 2.7 2.9

Ĉ

COMPUTE

TORE

ANALYZE

Copyright 2017 Cray Inc.

3.1 3.3 3.5 3.7 3.9 4.1 4.3 4.5 4.7 4.9 5.1 5.3 5.5 5.7 5.9

dataParallel.chpl

```
use CyclicDist;
config const n = 1000;
var D = {1..n, 1..n}
        dmapped Cyclic(startIdx = (1,1));
var A: [D] real;
forall (i,j) in D do
        A[i,j] = i + (j - 0.5)/n;
writeln(A);
```

prompt> chpl dataParallel.chpl -o dataParallel
prompt> ./dataParallel --n=5 --numLocales=4
1.1 1.3 1.5 1.7 1.9
2.1 2.3 2.5 2.7 2.9
3.1 3.3 3.5 3.7 3.9
4.1 4.3 4.5 4.7 4.9
5.1 5.3 5.5 5.7 5.9



COMPUTE

STORE



Distributed Data Parallelism, by example HPF-like? dataParallel.chpl magic? use CyclicDist; descriptive? config const n = 1000; **var** $D = \{1...n, 1...n\}$ dmapped Cyclic(startIdx = (1,1)); var A: [D] real; forall (i,j) in D do Not in the slightest... A[i,j] = i + (j - 0.5)/n;writeln(A); prompt> chpl dataParallel.chpl -o dataParallel prompt> ./dataParallel --n=5 --numLocales=4 1.1 1.3 1.5 1.7 1.9 2.1 2.3 2.5 2.7 2.9 3.1 3.3 3.5 3.7 3.9 4.1 4.3 4.5 4.7 4.9 5.1 5.3 5.5 5.7 5.9



COMPUTE

TORE

Chapel's prescriptive approach: forall (i,j) **in** D **do**...

- ⇒ invoke and inline D's default parallel iterator
 - defined by D's type / domain map

default domain map

- create task per local core
- block indices across tasks

dataParallel.chpl

```
config const n = 1000;
var D = {1..n, 1..n};
var A: [D] real;
```

```
forall (i,j) in D do
A[i,j] = i + (j - 0.5)/n;
```

```
writeln(A);
```

prompt> chpl dataParallel.chpl -o dataParallel
prompt> ./dataParallel --n=5 --numLocales=4
1.1 1.3 1.5 1.7 1.9
2.1 2.3 2.5 2.7 2.9
3.1 3.3 3.5 3.7 3.9
4.1 4.3 4.5 4.7 4.9
5.1 5.3 5.5 5.7 5.9



COMPUTE

TORE

Chapel's prescriptive approach:

- forall (i,j) in D do...
- ⇒ invoke and inline D's default parallel iterator
 - defined by D's type / domain map

default domain man cyclic domain map on each target locale...

- create task per core
- block local indices across tasks

dataParallel.chpl

| prompt> | chpl dataParallel.chpl -o dataParallel |
|---------|--|
| prompt> | ./dataParalleln=5numLocales=4 |
| 1.1 1.3 | 1.5 1.7 1.9 |
| 2.1 2.3 | 2.5 2.7 2.9 |
| 3.1 3.3 | 3.5 3.7 3.9 |
| 4.1 4.3 | 4.5 4.7 4.9 |
| 5.1 5.3 | 5.5 5.7 5.9 |



COMPUTE

STORE





Chapel and Performance Portability

• Avoid locking key policy decisions into the language

- Array memory layout?
- Sparse storage format?
- Parallel loop policies?
- Abstract node architecture?





Chapel and Performance Portability

• Avoid locking key policy decisions into the language

- Array memory layout?
- Sparse storage format?
- Parallel loop policies?
- Abstract node architecture?

not defined by Chapel not defined by Chapel

not defined by Chapel not defined by Chapel

- Instead, permit users to specify these in Chapel itself
 - support performance portability through...

...a separation of concerns (drink!)

...abstractions—known to the compiler, and therefore optimizable

• goal: to make Chapel a future-proof language



Chapel Performance: Increasingly Competitive (novel for Chapel; new within the past 12–18 months)



Crossing the Rapids





COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE

Copyright 2017 Cray Inc.

source: http://feelgrafix.com/813578-free-stream-wallpaper.html

My Vision for Advancing Productive Alternatives



1. Establish an ongoing online bake-off

2. Create forums for apps-languages pair-programming

- e.g., host a "speed-dating" Dagstuhl Seminar
 - *n* productive language groups
 - *n* apps groups looking for alternatives

COMPLITE

- where *n* = 3–5?
- session 0: everyone gives lightning summaries of their language / app
- sessions 1–n: rotate apps x language groups

meanwhile, we're interested in doing this anytime

(so, send open-minded apps groups our way)



excerpt from CHIUW 2017 keynote

"My opinion as an outsider...is that Chapel is important, Chapel is mature, and Chapel is just getting started."

"If the scientific community is going to have frameworks for solving scientific problems that are actually designed for our problems, they're going to come from a project like Chapel." "And the thing about Chapel is that the set of all things that

are 'projects like Chapel' is 'Chapel.'"

-Jonathan Dursi

Chapel's Home in the New Landscape of Scientific Frameworks (and what it can learn from the neighbours)

CHIUW 2017 keynote

http://chapel.cray.com/presentations.html / https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xj0rwdLOR4U



FORE

ANALYZE



Chapel Resources



COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE



Chapel Central: http://chapel-lang.org





STORE

ANALYZE



How to Stalk Chapel

http://facebook.com/ChapelLanguage http://twitter.com/ChapelLanguage

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCHmm27bYjhknK5mU7ZzPGsQ/

chapel-announce@lists.sourceforge.net

COMPLITE





ANAI Y7F

Suggested Reading (healthy attention spans) ⊂ ⊂ ⊂

Chapel chapter from *Programming Models for Parallel Computing*

- a detailed overview of Chapel's history, motivating themes, features
- published by MIT Press, November 2015
- edited by Pavan Balaji (Argonne)
- chapter is now also available <u>online</u>

COMPLITE



Other Chapel papers/publications available at http://chapel-lang.org/papers.html



ANALYZE

Suggested Reading (short attention spans)

CHIUW 2017: Surveying the Chapel Landscape, Cray Blog, July 2017.

• a run-down of recent events

Chapel: Productive Parallel Programming, Cray Blog, May 2013.

• a short-and-sweet introduction to Chapel

Six Ways to Say "Hello" in Chapel (parts 1, 2, 3), Cray Blog, Sep-Oct 2015.

• a series of articles illustrating the basics of parallelism and locality in Chapel

Why Chapel? (parts <u>1</u>, <u>2</u>, <u>3</u>), <u>Cray Blog</u>, Jun-Oct 2014.

• a series of articles answering common questions about why we are pursuing Chapel in spite of the inherent challenges

[Ten] Myths About Scalable Programming Languages, IEEE TCSC Blog

(index available on chapel.cray.com "blog articles" page), Apr-Nov 2012.

• a series of technical opinion pieces designed to argue against standard reasons given for not developing high-level parallel languages





Chapel StackOverflow and GitHub Issues

| 볼 stack | overflow Questions Jobs Documentation Tags Users Q [chap | el] 📀 🚍 Log In Sign Up | _ | - |
|--|--|--|-------------|------------|
| Tagged Qi | Jestions info newest frequent votes active | This repository Search Pull requests Issues Marketplace Gist | \$ - | +- 😰- |
| Chapel, the learn more | Cascade High Productivity Language, is a parallel programming language developed by Cray. top users synonyms | Code ①Issues 292 ① Pull requests 26 | 455 😵 Fo | rk 145 |
| 2 votes If I run the following code: use BlockDist; config const dimension: int = 5; const space = { 0.#dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; const matrixBlock: domain(2) dmapped Block(boundingBox=space) = sp and the space dimension; | | Filters - Q is:issue is:open Labels Milestones | Ne | ew issue |
| 22 views | Is `[<var> in <distributed variable="">]` equivalent to `forall'?</distributed></var> | O 292 Open < 77 Closed Author < Labels < Projects < Milestones < Implement "bounded-coforall" optimization for remote coforalls area: Compiler type: Performance #6357 opened 13 hours ago by ronawho | Assignee - | Sort + |
| 1 answer 24 views | I noticed something in a snippet of code I was given: var D: domain(2) dmapped Block(bount = Space; var A: [D] int; [a in A] a = a.locale.id; is [a in A] equivalent to forall a in A a = syntax chapel asked 15 hours a barrymoo | Consider using processor atomics for remote coforalls EndCount area: Compiler type: Performance #6356 opened 13 hours ago by ronawho | 213 | 1 3 |
| 2 | Get Non-primitive Variables from within a Cobegin - Chapel | ① make uninstall area: BTR type: Feature Request #6353 opened 14 hours ago by mppf | | |
| votes 1 answer | I want to compute some information in parallel and use the result outside the cobegin. To be my requirement is to retrieve a domain (and other non primitive types) like this var a,b: chapel asked Apr 18 at 1 | make check doesn't work with ./configure area: BTR #6352 opened 16 hours ago by mppf | | 7 🖓 |
| 45 views | , € 151 ∘ 1 ∘ | Passing variable via in intent to a forall loop seems to create an iteration-private variable, not a task-private one area: Compiler type: Bug #6351 opened a day ago by cassella | 8 | ₽ 2 |
| O votes | Is there a default method that gets called when I try to cast an object into a string? (E.g. toSt str in Python.) I want to be able to do the following with an array of Objects, | ① Remove chpl_comm_make_progress area: Runtime easy type: Design #6349 opened a day ago by sungeunchoi | | ₽1 |
| | | O Runtime error after make on Linux Mint area: BTR user issue #6348 opened a day ago by danindiana | | 15 |



COMPUTE

STORE Copyright 2017 Cray Inc. ANALYZE

Where to..

Submit bug reports:

GitHub issues for chapel-lang/chapel: public bug forum chapel_bugs@cray.com: for reporting non-public bugs Ask User-Oriented Questions:

StackOverflow: when appropriate / other users might care #chapel-users (irc.freenode.net): user-oriented IRC channel chapel-users@lists.sourceforge.net: user discussions

Discuss Chapel development

chapel-developers@lists.sourceforge.net: developer discussions #chapel-developers (irc.freenode.net): developer-oriented IRC channel Discuss Chapel's use in education

chapel-education@lists.sourceforge.net: educator discussions Directly contact Chapel team at Cray: chapel_info@cray.com



TORE







Questions?



COMPUTE

STORE

ANALYZE



Legal Disclaimer

Information in this document is provided in connection with Cray Inc. products. No license, express or implied, to any intellectual property rights is granted by this document.

Cray Inc. may make changes to specifications and product descriptions at any time, without notice.

All products, dates and figures specified are preliminary based on current expectations, and are subject to change without notice.

Cray hardware and software products may contain design defects or errors known as errata, which may cause the product to deviate from published specifications. Current characterized errata are available on request.

Cray uses codenames internally to identify products that are in development and not yet publically announced for release. Customers and other third parties are not authorized by Cray Inc. to use codenames in advertising, promotion or marketing and any use of Cray Inc. internal codenames is at the sole risk of the user.

Performance tests and ratings are measured using specific systems and/or components and reflect the approximate performance of Cray Inc. products as measured by those tests. Any difference in system hardware or software design or configuration may affect actual performance.

The following are trademarks of Cray Inc. and are registered in the United States and other countries: CRAY and design, SONEXION, and URIKA. The following are trademarks of Cray Inc.: ACE, APPRENTICE2, CHAPEL, CLUSTER CONNECT, CRAYPAT, CRAYPORT, ECOPHLEX, LIBSCI, NODEKARE, THREADSTORM. The following system family marks, and associated model number marks, are trademarks of Cray Inc.: CS, CX, XC, XE, XK, XMT, and XT. The registered trademark LINUX is used pursuant to a sublicense from LMI, the exclusive licensee of Linus Torvalds, owner of the mark on a worldwide basis. Other trademarks used in this document are the property of their respective owners.



COMPUTE

FORE

ANALYZE



