

# **Panel: Programming Models at Exascale: Are We Ready for the Challenges?**

---

Brad Chamberlain, Cray Inc.

Future Approaches to Data-Centric Programming for Exascale

May 20<sup>th</sup>, 2011

**Q1:** What is good and bad with current programming models?

**A:** (Thinking primarily of MPI + OpenMP/threads/CUDA)

*the good*

*the bad*

- maps well to hardware
  - lots of control
  - decent generality
  - supports a division of labor
  - relatively easy to implement

**Q1:** What is good and bad with current programming models?

**A:** (Thinking primarily of MPI + OpenMP/threads/CUDA)

*the good*

- maps well to hardware
  - lots of control
  - decent generality
  - supports a division of labor
  - relatively easy to implement

*the bad*

- low-level of expression
  - many details to manage
  - barriers to portability
  - requires a hybrid programming model
  - implementation is fairly constrained

**Q1:** What is good and bad with current programming models?

**A:** (Thinking primarily of MPI + OpenMP/threads/CUDA)

*the good*

*the bad*

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● maps well to hardware             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● lots of control</li> <li>● decent generality</li> <li>● supports a division of labor</li> <li>● relatively easy to implement</li> </ul> </li> <li>● based on familiar languages</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● low-level of expression             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● many details to manage</li> <li>● barriers to portability</li> <li>● requires a hybrid programming model</li> <li>● implementation is fairly constrained</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|--|---|

**Q1:** What is good and bad with current programming models?

**A:** (Thinking primarily of MPI + OpenMP/threads/CUDA)

*the good*

- maps well to hardware
  - lots of control
  - decent generality
  - supports a division of labor
  - relatively easy to implement
- based on familiar languages

*the bad*

- low-level of expression
  - many details to manage
  - barriers to portability
  - requires a hybrid programming model
  - implementation is fairly constrained
- based on increasingly antiquated languages

**Q1:** What is good and bad with current programming models?

**A:** (Thinking primarily of MPI + OpenMP/threads/CUDA)

*the good*

*the bad*

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● maps well to hardware             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● lots of control</li> <li>● decent generality</li> <li>● supports a division of labor</li> <li>● relatively easy to implement</li> </ul> </li> <li>● based on familiar languages</li> <li>● works today</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● low-level of expression             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● many details to manage</li> <li>● barriers to portability</li> <li>● requires a hybrid programming model</li> <li>● implementation is fairly constrained</li> </ul> </li> <li>● based on increasingly antiquated languages</li> </ul> |
|---|---|

**Q1:** What is good and bad with current programming models?

**A:** (Thinking primarily of MPI + OpenMP/threads/CUDA)

*the good*

*the bad*

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● maps well to hardware             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● lots of control</li> <li>● decent generality</li> <li>● supports a division of labor</li> <li>● relatively easy to implement</li> </ul> </li> <li>● based on familiar languages</li> <li>● works today</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● low-level of expression             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● many details to manage</li> <li>● barriers to portability</li> <li>● requires a hybrid programming model</li> <li>● implementation is fairly constrained</li> </ul> </li> <li>● based on increasingly antiquated languages</li> <li>● may not work tomorrow</li> </ul> |
|---|--|

## Q2: What is needed to cope with future system complexity?

**A:**

- **hierarchy in our execution and machine models**
  - flat set of cooperating binaries no longer sufficient
  - need to expose different processor and memory types
- **greater investment in software to help manage system complexity**
  - increasingly autonomous, resource-aware runtimes
  - optimizing compilers
  - abstractions through frameworks and languages
- **willingness on users' part to yield some control**
  - rely more on frameworks, abstractions, automation
  - resilience as a first-class concern, not an afterthought



## Q3: What will be the impact on mere mortals?

**A:** Mortals will always find a way to use a new technology effectively

- **though certain changes in approach could help:**
  - unified notation for parallelism & locality
  - multiresolution design to support diverse skillsets
- **programming models will challenge us, but my bigger concern is whether system imbalance will render the machines unusable**
  - not enough memory to make good use of the flops
  - “program smarter, not harder” is not an answer
  - a renaissance for out-of-core algorithms?



<http://chapel.cray.com>   [chapel\\_info@cray.com](mailto:chapel_info@cray.com)   <http://sourceforge.net/projects/chapel/>

# TODO

- Move sub-bullets to speaker's points or make non-sentences
- Other presentation:
  - assembly language programming as joke to break up talk
  - family feud: Why did HPF fail?
- Notes: Commodore 64 vs. today's machines
  - we are not used to hardship and working under severe constraints
  - WWII vs. today's wars