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Q2: What is needed to cope with future system complexity?

A:

- hierarchy in our execution and machine models
  - flat set of cooperating binaries no longer sufficient
  - need to expose different processor and memory types
- greater investment in software to help manage system complexity
  - increasingly autonomous, resource-aware runtimes
  - optimizing compilers
  - abstractions through frameworks and languages
- willingness on users’ part to yield some control
  - rely more on frameworks, abstractions, automation
  - resilience as a first-class concern, not an afterthought
Q3: What will be the impact on mere mortals?

A: Mortals will always find a way to use a new technology effectively

- though certain changes in approach could help:
  - unified notation for parallelism & locality
  - multiresolution design to support diverse skillsets

- programming models will challenge us, but my bigger concern is whether system imbalance will render the machines unusable
  - not enough memory to make good use of the flops
  - “program smarter, not harder” is not an answer
  - a renaissance for out-of-core algorithms?
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• Other presentation:
  • assembly language programming as joke to break up talk
  • family feud: Why did HPF fail?

• Notes: Commodore 64 vs. today’s machines
  • we are not used to hardship and working under severe constraints
  • WWII vs. today’s wars