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What is Chapel?*

Chapel

- A modern parallel programming language
- portable & scalable
- open-source & collaborative

Goals

- Support general parallel programming
- Make parallel programming at scale far more productive

* Some of the Chapel slides are based on Brad Chamberlain, Chapel Comes of Age: A Language for Productivity, Parallelism, and Performance, HPC Knowledge Meeting (HPCKP), 2019 and Kathy Yelick, CHIUW 2018 keynote: Why Languages Matter More Than Ever
Why Consider a New Language?

### Syntax
- High level, elegant syntax
- **Improve programmer productivity**

### Semantics
- Static analysis can help with correctness
- We need a compiler (front-end)

### Performance
- Optimizations are needed to get performance
- We need a compiler (back-end)

### Algorithms
- Language defines what is easy and hard
- **Influences algorithmic thinking**
Chapel

Chapel aims to be as...

...programmable as Python
...fast as Fortran
...scalable as MPI, SHMEM, or UPC
...portable as C
...flexible as C++
Stream Triad

∀ i ∈ 1..m, Aᵢ = Bᵢ + αᵢCᵢ

- Global namespace is supported (PGAS)
- Chapel uses the concept of locale

```plaintext
use BlockDist;

config const m = 1000;
config const alpha = 3.0;

const Dom = {1..m} dmapped Block ({1..m});

var A, B, C: [Dom] real;
B = 2.0;
C = 1.0;
A = B + alpha * C;
```
## Productivity

- The research field of CFD evolves rapidly and is competitive
- Requires quick implementation of complex algorithms over distributed memory

## Fast

- The inherent computational cost demands fast software

## Portable and Scalable

- 2D cases on a desktop
- Large-scale 3D cases over 500+ cores
- 1 code portable to any hardware
CHAMPS

Objective

Build a complete 3D unstructured CFD simulation software from scratch with Chapel for large-scale simulation on distributed memory for multi-physics simulations.

CHapel Multi-Physics Simulation (CHAMPS)

- 3D Unstructured Reynolds Average Navier-Stokes flow solver (support 2D and 3D grids)
- Second order finite volume
- Convective flux schemes: Roe and AUSM
- SA, $k - \omega$ SST-V and Langtry-Menter transitional turbulence models
- Explicit solver (Runge Kutta) and implicit solvers (SGS, GMRES)
- Jacobian-Free Newton-Krylov
- Interface with external libraries: MKL, CGNS, METIS and PETSC
- Icing module (not shown)
A Multi-physics problem requires different computational grids
Type aliases are used to define these various computational domains
Parallelism over distributed memory

- Single execution
- A task is created for every available locale
- The task on a locale creates a task for every core on that locale

```
./champs -nl 2
```

```chapel
coforall
local: 0

iter. process

coforall
local: 1

iter. process

coforall
local: 0

iter. process

coforall
local: 1

iter. process
```
Communication

```plaintext
proc performInterfaceExchanges(zone, exchangeType)
{
    // Fill buffers
    local do zone.prepareExchange(exchangeType);
    allLocalesBarrier.barrier();

    // Read buffers
    zone.exchangeInterfaces(exchangeType);
    allLocalesBarrier.barrier();
}
```
Scalability

• The scalability is evaluated on a Cartesian grid
• The cube has farfield boundary conditions only

Strong Scaling
The cube is discretized with 800 elements in every direction $(i,j,k)$ for a total of $512M$ elements

Weak Scaling
The problem size $(\sim 1M$ per $locale)$ is scaled with the number of $locales$
Scalability

- The scaling result is highly impacted by global reductions (i.e. lift, drag and residual values to monitor flow convergence)
- Linear scalability is maintained at 9216 cores without these reductions

(Left) - Strong Scaling (Right) - Weak Scaling
Numerical Verification

Flat Plate Turbulence Model Verification

- SA and KW models are verified against CFL3D and FUN3D
- Similar grid convergence is achieved for $C_D$
Numerical Verification

Fifth Drag Prediction Workshop (DPW)

- The angle of attack to yield a lift coefficient of 0.5 is in line with the workshop results
Numerical Verification

Fifth Drag Prediction Workshop (DPW)

- The pressure drag convergence of CHAMPS is similar to the workshop results
Conclusion

Distributed Memory Parallelism

- The development of distributed memory application is efficient
- Complex algorithms are easily portable to large computer clusters

Productivity

- Additional modules are easily added by team members (other than original developers)
- Our experience in writing such software points to 2-5X faster implementation times for Chapel than C/C++

Performance

- CHAMPS is performing similarly to other C/C++ applications

Future Work

- Implementation of a fluid-structure interface
Acknowledgements

• The authors would like to acknowledge the great support from the Chapel team at Hewlett Packard Enterprise

• This work benefited from the support of: Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), MITACS and the Canada Research Chair Program

• Calculations were performed on Compute Canada/Calcul Quebec clusters

• Large-scale scalability simulations were performed on a cluster provided by Hewlett Packard Enterprise