

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

LOCALITY-BASED OPTIMIZATIONS IN THE CHAPEL COMPILER

Engin Kayraklioglu, Elliot Ronaghan CHIUW 2021 – June 4, 2021



LOCALITY-BASED OPTIMIZATIONS IN THE CHAPEL COMPILER

- Chapel's high-level features enable the compiler to argue about locality
- Versions 1.23 and 1.24 added two new optimizations

Added in 1.23: Automatic Local Access

• Faster distributed array access in forall bodies

Added in 1.24: Automatic Copy Aggregation

• (Some) Remote array accesses in forall bodies use aggregated communication

"Perilous to us all are the devices of an art deeper than we possess ourselves."

Gandalf*

*The Lord of the Rings: The Two Towers, J.R.R. Tolkien





Introduction

```
var D = newBlockDom({1..N});
var A: [D] int;
```

```
forall i in D do
```

A[i] = calculate(i);

distributed array access overheads

With optimization

Access to A with index i is strength-reduced

- **Before:** if A[i] is a local is checked during execution
- After: that check is avoided

Potential workarounds without the optimization

forall (a, i) in zip(A, A.domain) do
 a = calculate(i);

'zip' may not be intuitive

forall i in A.domain do
 A.localAccess(i) = calculate(i);

clunky way to access an array

Examples



Dynamic Checks

- If the compiler cannot determine the domain of an array:
 - Equality of domains will be checked at execution time
 - Depending on that, an optimized or unoptimized version of the loop will be run

- The compiler will clone loops if there are one or more dynamic candidates
 - This might increase compilation time
 - We have not observed noticeable compilation slowdowns in real use cases

Pitfalls





Performance Impact

• Global STREAM with array indexing:

```
forall i in ProblemSpace do
A[i] = B[i] + alpha * C[i];
```

now essentially performs like other idioms:

forall (a, b, c) in zip(A, B, C) do
 a = b + alpha * c;

or:

$$A = B + alpha * C;$$







Introduction

```
var D = newBlockDom({1..N});
```

var A: [D] int;

var B: [D] int;

forall i in ${\tt D}$ do

```
A[i] = B[calculate(i)];
```

With optimization

Irregular access to B is copy-aggregated

- **Before:** each remote access is a message
- After: data is buffered locally, moved in bulk

fine-grained remote access causes overheads

Potential application-specific solution



Examples



Pitfalls

Arbitrary operations are not aggregated

```
forall i in A.domain do
   A[i] = B[calculate(i)] + 3;
```

Only the last statement in the body is analyzed

```
forall i in A.domain {
    A[i] = B[calculate(i)];
    C[i] = D[calculate(i)];
}
```

Fully-local aggregation can hurt performance

```
forall i in A.domain do
    A[i] = B[getALocalIndex(i)];
```

either don't use --auto-aggregation, or "trick" the locality analysis:

```
forall i in A.domain {
   const k = i;
   A[k] = B[getALocalIndex(i)];
```

Impact

- Bale indexgather benefits greatly from aggregation
- '--auto-aggregation' reaches the same performance as the manual version
 - No user effort is needed
 - Benchmark kernel:

forall i in D2 do
tmp[i] = A[rindex[i]];

- Benchmark kernel with manual aggregation:

```
forall i in D with (var agg = new SrcAggregator(int)) do
  agg.copy(tmp[i], A[rindex[i]]);
```

SUMMARY

Automatic Local Access

- Improves indexed access to distributed arrays in forall bodies
- On-by-default
 - Some control flags: --no-auto-local-access, --report-auto-local-access, --no-dynamic-auto-local-access

Automatic Copy Aggregation

- Aggregates fine-grained copies in the last statements of forall bodies
- Off-by-default
 - Some control flags: --auto-aggregation, --report-auto-aggregation

Future work

- Automatic atomic operation aggregation
- Improve worst-case performance of automatic copy aggregation
- Investigate extending support to arbitrary statements in forall bodies

THANK YOU

engin@hpe.com



