This presentation may contain forward-looking statements that are based on our current expectations. Forward looking statements may include statements about our financial guidance and expected operating results, our opportunities and future potential, our product development and new product introduction plans, our ability to expand and penetrate our addressable markets and other statements that are not historical facts. These statements are only predictions and actual results may materially vary from those projected. Please refer to Cray's documents filed with the SEC from time to time concerning factors that could affect the Company and these forward-looking statements.
What is Chapel?

● An emerging parallel programming language
  ● Design and development led by Cray Inc.
    ● in collaboration with academia, labs, industry

● **Overall goal:** Improve programmer productivity
  ● Improve the **programmability** of parallel computers
  ● Match or beat the **performance** of current programming models
  ● Support better **portability** than current programming models
  ● Improve the **robustness** of parallel codes

● A work-in-progress
Chapel's Implementation

- Being developed as open source at SourceForge
- Licensed as BSD software

**Target Architectures:**
- Cray architectures
- multicore desktops and laptops
- commodity clusters and the cloud
- systems from other vendors
- *in-progress:* CPU+accelerator hybrids, manycore, …
A Year in the Life of Chapel

- **Two major releases per year** (April / October)
  - latest release: version 1.9, April 17, 2014
  - a month later: detailed release notes *(new!)*
    - version 1.9 release notes available now: [http://chapel.cray.com/download.html](http://chapel.cray.com/download.html)

- **SC activities** (Nov)
  - Chapel tutorials *(most years)*
  - CHUG meet-up / happy hour *(past four years)*
  - lightning talks BoF *(past three years)*
  - educators forum *(past two years)*
  - talks, posters, emerging technology booth, etc. *(when possible)*

- **Talks, panels, tutorials, research visits, papers, blog articles, …** *(year-round)*

- and now… **CHIUW** *(May)*
Welcome to CHIUW!
What is CHIUW?

CHIUW: Chapel Implementers and Users Workshop
- Name chosen to complement CHUG (the Chapel Users Group)

Motivation:
- Lots of things going on with Chapel
  - within Cray
  - across broader research community
- Wanted to create a forum to share progress and discuss

Format:
- backbone: technical talks submitted from Chapel community
- networking during breaks and meals
- fleshing things out: invited keynote talk, community/panel discussion
CHIUW 2014 Agenda

8:30– 9:00 Welcome, Overview
9:00–10:00 Technical Talks: Application Studies
10:00–10:30 Break
10:30–11:30 Technical Talks: Language Extensions
11:30–12:00 Technical Talks: Compiler Optimizations (pt 1)
12:00– 1:00 Lunch
1:00– 1:45 Keynote: Walking to the Chapel, Robert Harrison
1:45– 2:15 Technical Talks: Compiler Optimizations (pt 2)
2:15– 3:15 Technical Talks: Runtime Improvements
3:15– 3:30 Break
3:30– 4:30 Community/Panel Discussion
4:30– Dinner/Drinks

(Full agenda at http://chapel.cray.com/CHIUW.html)
CHIUW 2014 Talks and Speakers

(Full author lists and extended abstracts at http://chapel.cray.com/CHIUW.html)

User Experiences with a Chapel Implementation of UTS
Jens Breitbart, Technische Universität München

Evaluating Next Generation PGAS Languages for Computational Chemistry
Daniel Chavarria-Miranda, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Programmer-Guided Reliability in Chapel
David E. Bernholdt, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Towards Interfaces for Chapel
Chris Wailes, Indiana University

Affine Loop Optimization using Modulo Unrolling in Chapel
Aroon Sharma, University of Maryland

LLVM Optimizations for PGAS Programs
Akihiro Hayashi, Rice University

Opportunities for Integrating Tasking and Communication Layers
Dylan T. Stark, Sandia National Laboratories

Caching in on Aggregation
Michael Ferguson, Laboratory for Telecommunication Sciences
CHIUW 2014 Steering Committee

- Brad Chamberlain (chair), Cray Inc.
- Richard Barrett, Sandia National Laboratories
- Jens Breitbart, Technische Universität München
- Michael Ferguson, Laboratory for Telecommunication Sciences
- Rob Neely, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
- Vivek Sarkar, Rice University
- Jeremy Siek, Indiana University
- Kenjiro Taura, University of Tokyo

In forming the committee, strived for a balance of...

- developers and users
- academics and lab employees
- domestic and international
The Future of CHIUW

- Intention is to make this an annual event
- Format and setting are flexible based on interest
  - don’t change a thing?
  - same format, different activities?
  - multiple days with distinct user/implementer emphases?
  - coding camps for developers/users?
  - tutorials?

- Sometime today, please fill out survey to help tune CHIUW

- Interested in chairing CHIUW 2015? Please let us know!
Chapel Overview
Chapel’s Origins: HPCS

DARPA HPCS: High Productivity Computing Systems

- **Goal**: improve productivity by a factor of 10x
- **Timeframe**: summer 2002 – fall 2012
- Cray developed new system architecture, network, software, …
  - this became the very successful Cray XC30™ Supercomputer Series

...and a new programming language: Chapel
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Major Chapel Successes Under HPCS

● SSCA#2 demonstration on the prototype Cray XC30
  ● unstructured graph compact application
  ● clean separation of computation from data structure choices
  ● fine-grain latency-hiding runtime
  ● use of XC30’s network AMOs via Chapel’s ‘atomic’ types

● Clean, general parallel language design
  ● unified data-, task-, concurrent-, nested-parallelism
  ● distinct concepts for parallelism and locality
  ● multiresolution language design philosophy

● Portable design and implementation
  ● while still being able to take advantage of Cray-specific features

● Revitalization of Community Interest in Parallel Languages
  ● HPF-disenchantment became interest, cautious optimism, enthusiasm
**Multiresolution Design**: Support multiple tiers of features

- higher levels for programmability, productivity
- lower levels for greater degrees of control

*Chapel language concepts*

- Domain Maps
- Data Parallelism
- Task Parallelism
- Base Language
- Locality Control
- Target Machine

- build the higher-level concepts in terms of the lower
- permit the user to intermix layers arbitrarily
Lower-Level Features

Chapel language concepts

Lower-level Chapel

Target Machine

Locality Control

Base Language

Task Parallelism

Data Parallelism

Domain Maps
Sample Base Language Features

```chapel
iter fibonacci(n) {
    var current = 0,
        next = 1;
    for i in 1..n {
        yield current;
        current += next;
        current <=> next;
    }
}
```

```chapel
for f in fibonacci(7) do writeln(f);
```

```
0
1
1
2
3
5
8
```

Static Type Inference for:
- arguments
- return types
- variables

CLU-style iterators

swap operator

range types and operators
Sample Task Parallel Feature: Coforall Loops

```chapel
coforall t in 0..#numTasks {  // coforalls create a task per iteration
    writeln("Hello from task ", t, " of ", numTasks);
}  // its tasks implicitly join before execution proceeds

writeln("All tasks done");
```

Hello from task 2 of 4
Hello from task 0 of 4
Hello from task 3 of 4
Hello from task 1 of 4
All tasks done
Locality Features: Locales and on-clauses

```plaintext
var i: int;
```

Locales (think: “compute nodes”)
Locality Features: Locales and on-clauses

```plaintext
var i: int;
on Locales[1] {
```
Locality Features: Locales and on-clauses

```plaintext
var i: int;
on Locales[1] {
    var j: int;
}
```

Variables:
- `i`: Starts at 0 and can be on locales 0, 1, or 2.
- `j`: Starts at 1 and can be on locales 3, 4, or 0.

Diagram:
- `i` can be on locales 0, 1, 2.
- `j` can be on locales 3, 4, 0.
Locality Features: Locales and on-clauses

```chapel
var i: int;
on Locales[1] {
    var j: int;
    coforall loc in Locales {
        on loc {
```
Locality Features: Locales and on-clauses

```chapel
var i: int;
on Locales[1] {
    var j: int;
    coforall loc in Locales {
        on loc {
            var k: int;

            // within this scope, i, j, and k can be referenced;
            // the implementation manages the communication for i and j
        }
    }
}
```

Higher-Level Features

Chapel language concepts

- Domain Maps
- Data Parallelism
- Task Parallelism
- Base Language
- Locality Control
- Target Machine

Higher-level Chapel
LULESH: a DOE Proxy Application

**Goal:** Solve one octant of the spherical Sedov problem (blast wave) using Lagrangian hydrodynamics for a single material

pictures courtesy of Rob Neely, Bert Still, Jeff Keasler, LLNL
LULESH in Chapel
LULESH in Chapel

1288 lines of source code
plus 266 lines of comments
487 blank lines

(the corresponding C+MPI+OpenMP version is nearly 4x bigger)

This can be found in Chapel v1.9 in examples/benchmarks/lulesh/*\.chpl
LULESH in Chapel

This is all of the representation dependent code. It specifies:

- data structure choices
  - structured vs. unstructured mesh
  - local vs. distributed data
  - sparse vs. dense materials arrays
- a few supporting iterators
const Elems = {0..#numElems}, Nodes = {0..#numNodes};

var determ: [Elems] real;

forall k in Elems { ... }

No domain map specified ⇒ use default layout
• current locale owns all indices and values
• computation will execute using local processors only
const Elems = {0..numElems} dmapped Block(...),
Nodes = {0..numNodes} dmapped Block(...);

var determ: [Elems] real;

forall k in Elems { ... }

---

**LULESH Data Structures (distributed, block)**

---

**Elems**

---

**Nodes**
LULESH Data Structures (distributed, cyclic)

```chapel
const Elems = {0..#numElems} dmapped Cyclic(...),
Nodes = {0..#numNodes} dmapped Cyclic(...);

var determ: [Elems] real;

forall k in Elems { ... }
```

Elems

Nodes
LULESH in Chapel

This is all of the representation dependent code. It specifies:

- data structure choices
  - structured vs. unstructured mesh
  - local vs. distributed data
  - sparse vs. dense materials arrays
- a few supporting iterators

Domain maps play a key role in keeping the science independent of these choices.
Domain Maps and Multiresolution

- Domain maps are written in Chapel
  - by Chapel developers or end-users
  - using lower-level features

- All domains/arrays are implemented using this framework
For More Information: Suggested Reading

Overview Papers:

  - a high-level overview of the project summarizing the HPCS period

  - a more detailed overview of Chapel’s history, motivating themes, features

Blog Articles:

  - a series of technical opinion pieces designed to rebut standard arguments against the development of high-level parallel languages
For More Information: Online Resources

Chapel project page: http://chapel.cray.com
  ● overview, papers, presentations, language spec, …

Chapel SourceForge page: https://sourceforge.net/projects/chapel/
  ● release downloads, public mailing lists, code repository, …

Mailing Lists:
  ● chapel_info@cray.com: contact the team at Cray
  ● chapel-announce@lists.sourceforge.net: announcement list
  ● chapel-users@lists.sourceforge.net: user-oriented discussion list
  ● chapel-developers@lists.sourceforge.net: developer discussion
  ● chapel-education@lists.sourceforge.net: educator discussion
  ● chapel-bugs@lists.sourceforge.net: public bug forum
Chapel Headlines

(“current events that every Chapel enthusiast should be aware of”)

Cray Chapel
Chapel is Alive and Well!

Based on positive customer response to Chapel under HPCS, Cray is pursuing a five-year effort to improve it
  ● the first year is drawing to a close

Focus Areas:

1. Performance and scaling improvements
2. Fixing broken aspects of the language / implementation
   ● e.g., error handling, strings, RAII/memory model, …
3. Portability to modern-era architectures
   ● Intel Phi, accelerators, heterogeneous memories, …
4. Interoperability
5. Community development
   ● including non-HPC communities
6. Transition governance to neutral, external group
The Chapel Team at Cray has Doubled in Size!

- During HPCS, Chapel staffing was typically:
  - 4-6 full-time engineers
  - a fraction of a manager’s time

- At present, the Cray Chapel team is composed of:
  - 12 full-time engineers (6 here today)
  - a full-time manager (also here today)

Summer 2013
The Broader Chapel Community is also Growing!

(uptick in interest from industrial users/developers as well)

http://chapel.cray.com/collaborations.html
Everybody* Loves Chapel!
(but nobody will use it… yet)

Why is this?

1. lack of compelling performance demonstrations
   - Chapel performance is currently hit-or-miss
   - In distributed memory environments, typically “miss”

2. concern about long-term support / viability of a new language

3. lack of maturity in some areas (features, implementation)

4. insufficient interoperability features

* = well, OK, maybe just most people…
Performance is Improving!

These are execution time graphs – lower is better

Data points correspond to releases 1.5 – 1.9
Nightly Performance Graphs are Now Public!

- What this means:
  - You can stalk our performance changes over time
  - You can submit your own performance tests and monitor them
  - You can see the performance impacts of patches you commit

http://chapel.sourceforge.net/perf/
Chapel Language Shootout* Entry Underway!

Ubuntu: Intel® Q6600® one core
Computer Language Benchmarks Game  [[ Play ]]

n-body benchmark N=50,000,000
Each chart bar shows how many times slower, one n-body program was, compared to the fastest program.

n-body - How many times slower? (Elapsed secs)

These are not the only programs that could be written. These are not the only compilers and interpreters.
Column X shows how many times more each program used compared to the benchmark program that.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>Program Source Code</th>
<th>CPU secs</th>
<th>Elapsed secs</th>
<th>Memory KB</th>
<th>Code B</th>
<th>~ CPU Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Fortran Intel #5</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1659</td>
<td>0% 0% 0% 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>C++ g++ #8</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>1544</td>
<td>0% 0% 1% 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>C++ g++ #7</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>0% 0% 5% 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>C gcc #4</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>0% 1% 1% 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>C++ g++ #3</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>1763</td>
<td>1% 0% 1% 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>C++ g++ #5</td>
<td>12.74</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>1749</td>
<td>0% 1% 1% 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Shootout Performance Summary (v1.8)

Chapel (v1.8) x worse than best reference

Chapel (v1.8) x worse than best reference
Chapel (v1.9) x worse than best reference
Multilocale Performance is also Improving!

**SCCA#2**

Adjusted MTEPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>1.8</th>
<th>1.9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ugni</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STREAM Triad - Global**

GB/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>1.8</th>
<th>1.9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ugni</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gn/mpi</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gn/aries</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HPCC RA using on**

log10(updates/s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>1.8</th>
<th>1.9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ugni, 10M updates</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gn.mpi, 1M updates</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gn.aries, 1M updates</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HPCC FFT**

GF/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>1.8</th>
<th>1.9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ugni</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Performance graphs – higher is better)
Memory Leaks are Being Plugged!

Memory Leaks for examples Tests

--- Total Leaked Memory:
Correctness Testing Now Public Too!

- Nightly regression tests sent to SourceForge mailing lists:
  - chapel-test-results-regressions@... the interesting results
  - chapel-test-results-all@... the complete results

- Also, working on transitioning testing to Jenkins
  - more holistic, integrated management of testing
  - better historical record of failure cases
  - http://jenkins-ci.org/

http://sourceforge.net/p/chapel/mailman/
Chapel Developers Join 21st Century?!

- Moving source base from SVN/SourceForge to git/GitHub

- Also, working on setting up...
  - issue tracking
  - task management
  - patch review framework
  - etc.

- This effort is being led by Thomas Van Doren (here today)
Change to License/Contributor Agreement!

Historically:
- License: BSD
- Contributor Agreement: Cray-specific

Plan for version 1.10:
- License: Apache
- Contributor Agreement: Apache

Rationale:
- BSD doesn’t have a contributor agreement
- Cray agreement has been a stumbling block for some developers

http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0.html
User-Defined Locale Models Now Available!

Traditionally:

- Chapel’s locales were flat – no locality control within locales
- Tasking and memory interfaces baked into the Chapel compiler

As of v1.8:

- Locales may now contain sublocales
- Users may write their own locale models using Chapel code
- Tasking and memory interfaces defined via such Chapel modules

http://svn.code.sf.net/p/chapel/code/trunk/doc/release/technotes/README.localeModels
Consider an exascale programmer’s wishlist:

- general types of parallelism
  - task parallelism to offload computations
  - data parallelism for SIMD execution
  - nested parallelism for composition
- locality control
  - and distinct from parallelism
- separation of concerns
  - algorithms from implementation (via domain maps, iterators)
- portability to diverse / unknown hardware architectures
  - user-defined locale models
- programmability features, to keep sane

Hybrid models can do some of this…
- But who wants to use a hybrid model?

Main question: Can Chapel get far enough fast enough?

http://chapel.cray.com/presentations/ChapelForPADAL-distributeme.pdf
http://chapel.cray.com/presentations/ChapelForPGASX-presented.pdf
Chapel: It’s not just for HPC anymore!

● “Big data” programmers want productive languages too
  ● MapReduce, Pig, Hive, HBase have their place, but drawbacks too
  ● Wouldn’t a general, locality-aware parallel language be nice here too?

● Chapel support for HDFS*: A first step
  ● Developed by Tim Zakian (Indiana University) last summer
  ● Returning this summer to take the next steps

● Questions:
  ● What killer apps/demos to focus on?
  ● What other non-HPC communities should we target?

*HDFS = Hadoop Distributed File System

Chapel: Attractive for Education!

● For some time now, we’ve made the following claim:

- If I were teaching parallel programming, I’d want to cover:
  - data parallelism
  - task parallelism
  - concurrency
  - synchronization
  - locality/affinity
  - deadlock, livelock, and other pitfalls
  - performance tuning
  - ...

- I don’t think there’s been a good language out there...
  - for teaching all of these things
  - for teaching some of these things well at all
  - until now: We believe Chapel can potentially play a crucial role here

(see http://chapel.cray.com/education.html for more information)
Chapel: Attractive for Education!

- And now, educators are making the argument for us:

http://chapel.cray.com/education.html
Interactive Chapel?!

● What if you could work with Chapel interactively:
  
  chpl> var A: [1..n] real;
  OK.
  chpl> [i in 1..n] A = i / 2.0;
  OK.
  chpl> writeln(A);
  0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0
  chpl> proc foo(x) { x *= 2; }
  OK.

● What if this worked not only on your desktop, but by offloading onto compute nodes as well:
  
  chpl> var myLocales = getNodes(100);
  OK.
  chpl> var MyDist = new Block({1..1000000}, myLocales);
  OK.

● We’ve just started a study on such a capability
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Working toward “The Chapel Foundation”!

● If Chapel remains Cray-steered, its chances of succeeding are much lower

● The intention has always been to “turn it over to the community” when it’s ready
  ● finding the correct timing is tricky

● We’ve started the brainstorming process of what such a model would look like (“The Chapel Foundation”)
  ● membership roles
  ● governance
  ● funding models

● If you have thoughts on this, we’re interested in them
What’s Next?
At Cray: Current Tasks

- **Portability:**
  - Support for Intel Phi

- **Performance:**
  - Performance analysis/optimization for NUMA architectures
  - Improved generation of C for loops (including parallelization pragmas)
  - Make Qthreads the default tasking layer
  - Make tcmalloc the default memory allocator
  - Enable processor-specific C back-end optimizations

- **Language/Library Features:**
  - Improve strings
  - Improved memory management capabilities
  - Bit Operations library
  - Improve semantics for variables accessed within ‘forall’
  - Re-implement variable initialization and implement ‘noinit’

- **Code Studies:**
  - Wrap up and submit shootout entry
  - Improved support for stencil computations
Outside of Cray?

● What are you interested in working on?

and/or

● Stay tuned for the rest of the CHIUW talks…
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